OFFICE OF CHIEF ACADEMIC OFFICER Summary of State Board of Education Agenda Items July 15, 2021

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

01. <u>Action: Begin the Administrative Procedures Act process: To revise Miss.</u> <u>Admin. Code 7-3: 74.8, State Board Policy 74, Chapter 74, Rule 74.8 –</u> <u>University Based Program</u> [Goals 1, 2, and 3 – MBE Strategic Plan]

<u>Background Information</u>: The University-Based Program (UBP) provides an opportunity for parents of children with disabilities or Local Education Agencies to place students with disabilities in a UBP to receive special education and related services. UBPs shall receive MAEP university-based teacher units based on the number of students enrolled in the UBP.

The purpose of this policy is to establish regulations that govern the overall application, approval, reimbursement, and teacher unit allocation process for the UBP.

The SBE met and voted unanimously on May 20, 2021 to begin the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) process. The APA process began on May 25, 2021 and closed on June 24, 2021. Seventy-four (74) comments were received. Based on the comments received and additional reviews of the proposed revisions, the MDE is recommending additional revisions that necessitate another review through the APA process.

This item references Goals 1, 2, and 3 of the *Mississippi Board of Education* 2018-2022 Strategic Plan.

Recommendation: Approval

Back-up material attached

	Comment	MDE Response
Mississipp	i State University – Dr. Kasee Gadke-Stratton	Clarification will be provided through training and technical
	"The LEA shall remain the district of enrollment for	assistance. No change needed.
students placed in the university-based program by the IEP		
	or through due process, state compliant process or	
0	liation. The student shall be included in the LEA's	
	Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for	
0	e student receives a FAPE."	
	e list under what specific section the child is listed on	
	ecember 1 count for the LEA	
	i State University – Dr. Kasee Gadke-Stratton	Policy updated to address this concern.
•	Teacher Units Approved for University-Based	
Programs		
Revis		
(1.)	Documentation verifying birth date and age from birth through 21 years [We request to change the age	
	to birth from the original proposed of age three; this	
	is based on the review of code section 37-23-35,	
	which allows for payment for services for any child.	
	We are requesting that UBP teacher units are eligible	
	for students ages birth through 21 years of age, as has	
	been historically provided to the programming and as	
	outlined in code section 37-23-25.]	
(2.)	Documentation of current eligibility by Early	
	Intervention/LEA	
	[We request the change to be consistent with serving	
	"any student" birth through 21.	
(3.)	Documentation of current IEP/IFSP	
	[We request this change to be consistent with serving	
	"any student" birth through 21. This is also consistent	
	with current procedures for eligibility in our UBP}	

Comment	MDE Response
 Mississippi State University – Dr. Kasee Gadke-Stratton Proposed 3: Application Steps for University Based Program Proposal (b) General Information i. Number age and IDEA/Early Intervention of students [We request this change to be consistent with serving "any student" birth through 21.] Length of School Day (UBP teacher must provide a full day of education to receive full funding. 5.5 hours of direct instruction) [We request this clarity to ensure this special educator can receive full funding for serving students for the entirety of the 5.5 hours per school day. Many of our students are unable to participate in a full day academic program due to their complex needs. We would like to have the flexibility of holding a class in the morning and the afternoon with a full 5.5 hours of instruction individually with students for an additional 1.5 hours.] 	Policy updated to address this concern.
Mississippi State University – Dr. Kasee Gadke Stratton Lastly, we would also appreciate the policy to consider adding requirements for a request for a ½ teacher unit under the proposed section (2). For example, inf a UBP can provide 2.45 hours (1/2 of an instructional day) of services to 5 medically complex/significant delayed students, we would like to be able to be eligible for reimbursement for a ½ unit/part-time UBP teacher. This would be exactly half of the proposed outlined criteria for a teacher-unit.	Policy updated to address this concern.
 U. S. M. Statewide Schools Procedures for Enrollment in a University- Based Program Proposed Procedures Procedures for Enrollment in a University-Based Program 	Policy updated to address this concern.

Comment	MDE Response
a. Students with disabilities may be enrolled in a	
university-based program in the following two	
situations:	
i. The student is enrolled on a private tuition basis	
by their parent(s)	
Concerns	
USM Statewide Schools 1808 is a public entity by state law *	
offering service options to families under IDEA regulations. Neither The Children's Center not the DuBard School charge	
tuition.	
Suggested Procedures	
i. Change to iii	
U. S. M. Statewide Schools	Clarification will be provided through training and technical
Proposed Procedures	assistance. No change needed.
i. ADDITION to procedures	
Concerns	
When a UBP is working with an infant or toddler under IDEA Part	
C regulations, they should be included in the Part B assessment	
and eligibility process as they are often the lead agency alerting the	
Local Education Agency (LEA)/district to the child's disability and	
needs.	
Suggested Procedures	
i. If a child is receiving Part C services with a University-Based	
Program (UBP), the parent, MSDH Early Intervention Service	
Coordinator, UBP personnel, and the Local Education Agency (LEA) shall participate in the <i>Part C to B transition meeting</i> and	
collaboratively determine the Part B eligibility assessment plan.	
Assessment data can be obtained from all programs and the LEA	
should invite the UBP to the Part B eligibility determination and	
Individualized Education Program (IEP) committee to collectively,	
with the parent, determine service needs and placement options.	

Comment	MDE Response
U. S. M. Statewide Schools	Policy updated to address this concern.
Proposed Procedures	
 Proposed Procedures ii. a) if a Local Educational Agency (LEA) initiates a placement because an Individualized Education Program (IEP) committee in that district has determined that the university-based program is that student's Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) for the purposes of providing that student with a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), and the IEP committee places the student in the university-based program. Yearly placement decisions relative to whether a student with disabilities is to be placed in a university-based program by an LEA continues to be the responsibility of LEA's IEP committee Concerns If an LEA and parent consider the UBP to be the most appropriate placement for a child, the UBP must be invited to the IEP committee meeting and UBP must receive referral information prior to the meeting to assist in the process of determining if the UBP can meet the child's needs and provide FAPE, considering LRE. LEA/districts should be aware that a UBP (a statewide school) may maintain a waitlist and may not have appropriate services to meet the needs of a child at any given time. Without the UBP personnel included, this means that tow IEPs will be requiredone which is initiated in the LEA and does <i>not</i> involve the UBP followed by one <i>with</i> the UBP as is currently done. This will add a layer of bureaucracy and delay the placement process for the children with the MOST needs, creating rushed an reduced quality IEP committee decision processes to meet looming 	

Comment	MDE Response
Our concern is while USM UBPs do not enroll privately placed	•
students on a tuition basis, the option could be available to a UBP	
that decides to allow such enrollment	
Suggested Procedures	
i. a) if a LEA initiates placement because an IEP committee,	
that includes UBP personnel, has determined that the UBP	
is the LRE for the purposes of providing that student with a	
Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), and the	
IEP committee places the student in the university -based	
program. Yearly placement decisions relative to whether a	
student with disabilities is to be placed in a university-based	
program by an LEA continues to be the responsibility of	
LEA's IEP committee, with UBP personnel included	
b) when the university-based program staff is requested by	
a parent to enroll a student on a private tuition basis, the	
university-based program will provide clear notice to the	
parent that the participating student has no individual	
entitlement to a free and appropriate education (FAPE)	
from their home school district, including special education	
and related services as long as the student is privately	
placed.	
U. S. M. Statewide Schools	Policy updated to address this concern.
Proposed Procedures	
c. When a student with disabilities is placed by the IEP	
Committee in a university-based program, the	
university-based program shall enter into a collaborative	
agreement with the LEA that will require state and	
federal funds already designated for the student placed	
to be utilized the cover the costs of tuition at the	
university-based program along with special education	
and related services to ensure that the student receives a	
FAPE. Additionally, the collaborative agreement will	
delineate services, responsibilities, and other costs	

Commont	MDE Degrange
Comment	MDE Response
related to placement of students in the university-based program. The collaborative agreement will be a template	
provided by the Mississippi Department of Education (MDE) and shall reference the IEP developed by the LEA	
in collaboration with the university-based program and	
their responsibility for IEP implementation.	
Concerns	
The services and responsibilities are already delineated in the	
student's IEP that dictates the flow of state and federal money for	
each child. Stating again in a collaborative agreement is redundant	
and unnecessary. If the UBP charges more than what is provided	
under state and federal funding, the district and UBP will be	
required to enter into a collaborative agreement to address those	
changes	
The inclusion of state funds implies that teacher unit funds and	
other state funds are impacted. These additional state funds	
include transportation, ESY, and the master teacher/SLP	
supplement.	
MDE's concern is the provision of federal funds (IDEA funds). This	
issue can be addressed an ensure that all parties are following	
federal IDEA regulations without involving state funds and	
hundreds of children's service plans through adding an	
MOU/collaborative agreement.	
Federal code (Code of Federal Regulations §300.2	
Applicability of this part of State and local agencies)	
indicates that (a) <i>States</i> . This part applies to each State that	
receives payments under Part B of the Act, as defined in §300.4 (b)	
Public agencies within the State. The provisions of this part –	
(1) Apply to all political subdivisions of the State that are	
involved in the education of children with disabilities	
including:	
(i) The State educational agency (SEA)	

	Comment	MDE Response
(ii)	Local education agencies (LEAs), educational service	MDL Response
	agencies (ESAs), and public charter schools that are	
	not otherwise included as LEAs or ESAs and are not a	
	school of an LEA or ESA	
(iii)	Other State agencies and schools (such as	
	Departments of Mental Health and Welfare and State	
	schools for children with deafness or children with	
	blindness).	
(iv)	State and local juvenile and adult correctional	
(11)	facilities; and	
(2) Are b	inding on each public agency in the State that provides	
	al education and related services to children with	
1	ilities, regardless of whether that agency is receiving	
	s under Part B of the Act	
It is importa	nt to note that MS Code Ann. §37-23-35 indicates that	
	be eligible for funds in the same manner as LEAs and	
that any add	litional costs of the programs provided by UBPs are to	
be borne by	the UBP.	
"The unive	ersity or college shall be eligible for state and	
	nds for such programs on the same basis as	
local scho	ol districts. The university or college shall be	
-	le for providing for the additional costs of the	
program.'		
00	Procedures	
	versity-based program chooses to enter into a	
	e agreement with the LEA for the purpose of receiving	
	inds from the LEA to serve the student, this	
	e agreement will be used to address additional funds	
	ost of tuition.	
	atewide Schools	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
	Procedures	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must
	shall remain the district of enrollment for students	meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the
placed in the	e University-based program by the IEP Committee, or	requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that

Comment	MDE Response
through due process, state complaint process, or binding	provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
mediation. The student shall be included in the LEA's December 1	conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.
Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for ensuring the	No change needed.
student receives a FAPE.	
Concerns	
The only additional step that is required to assist with federal	
regulation requirements is to cross check between the UBP and the	
LEA that the student is receiving FAPE through the December 1	
Child Count.	
MDE can simply verify, in writing, the LEA that the students	
included on the UBP December 1 Child Count are receiving FAPE	
and then release the IDEA funds to the UBP. This would remove	
the need for collaborative agreements for every student.	
Suggested Procedures	
Count per district/LEA so that the LEA can ensure the student is	
receiving FAPE. MDE will verify with the LEA that these students	
are enrolled in the UBP and receiving FAPE	
U.S.M. Statewide	Policy updated to address this concern.
Proposed Procedures	
e. The LEA shall convene an IEP Committee meeting that would	
include representatives and staff from the university-based	
program who would be responsible for providing the services in	
order to change the student's LRE to the university-based	
program, develop a transition plan for the student to the	
University-based program, as well as modify the student's services	
accordingly based on the new placement. Once the student is	
transitioned to the university-based program, the IEP Committee	
must include an Agency Representative from the LEA that will be	
involved in committee meetings to ensure that the student receives	
a FAPE at the new placement. The IEP developed for the student	
shall be reasonably calculated to enable the student to make	
progress appropriate in light of the student's circumstances.	

Comment	MDE Response
Concerns This is duplicative of the language stated in 1.a, ii, a).The IEP Committee should continue to include an agency Representative from the LEA, UBP personnel, and the parent Suggested Procedures e. REMOVE	
U.S.M. Statewide Proposed Procedures f. The LEA shall continue to be responsible for providing transportation for a student placed in a university=based program through the use of transportation funds from the Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP). Additionally, university- based programs will be eligible to receive MAEP transportation funds for a student that is parentally-placed on a private tuition basis. Concerns These are changes to the flow of state funding, not federal funding, the issue that began these policy updates. <u>Miss. Code Ann. § 37-151-85</u> Transportation Allowance: "Moreover, the State Board of Education is hereby authorized and empowered to make such payments to all districts and/or university-based programs as deemed necessary I connection with transporting exceptional students as defined in Miss Code Ann. § 37-23-3. The State Board of Education shall establish and implement all necessary rules and regulations to allot transportation payments to university-based programs. <i>"The LEA shall continue to be responsible for providing transportation</i> " is problematic and end requires the LEA to expend fund s and provide servies that currently are covered by the UBP's receiving state transportation funds to provide reimbursement to parents.	Policy updated to address this concern.

Comment	MDE Response
Suggested Procedures	
f. While the LEA shall continue to be responsible for providing	
transportation for a student placed in a university-based program	
through the use of transportation funds from the Mississippi	
Adequate Education Program (MAEP), the funds shall be provided	
directly to the UBP pursuant to <u>Miss Code Ann § 37-151-85.</u>	
Additionally, university-based programs will be eligible to receive	
MAEP transportation funds for a student that is parentally-placed	
on a private tuition basis.	
Summary of Content	MDE Response
Proposed Procedures	This section needs to remain in place to ensure that all UBPs are
g. The LRE and the university-based program must ensure that	following the same procedures. No change needed.
there is no delay in implementing the student's IEP, including any	
case in which the payment source for providing or paying for	
special education and related services to the student is being	
determined.	
Concerns	
If the UBP is involved in the IEP Committee from the beginning, as	
is done currently, there will be no delay in services. If state funding	
continues to come directly to the UBP, as it does currently, there	
will be no question about payment for services.	
Suggested Procedures	
g. REMOVE	
U.S.M. Statewide	Policy updated to address this concern.
2. Teacher Units Approved for University-Based Program	
Proposed Procedures	
Children counted for the allocations and approval of a university-	
based teacher unit(s) shall meet the following criteria: 1.	
Documentation verifying birth date and age of three (3) through	
twenty-one (21 years), 2. Documentation confirming residency in	
the State of Mississippi, 3. Documentation of current eligibility, 4.	
Documentation of current IEP, 5. Documentation of placement by	
a local school district IEP committee OR documentation of private	

Comment	MDE Response
parental placement, 6. Have not finished or graduated from high	
school.	
Concerns	
The proposed policy suggested does not accurately describe the	
teacher unit process.	
Suggested Procedures	
a. Children counted for allocation and approval of a UBP	
teacher unit(s) shall meet the following criteria:	
1. Documentation verifying the birthdate and age of zero	
(0) through two (2) for part C qualification and three (3)	
through twenty-one (21) years for Part B eligibility.	
2. Documentation of current Part C eligibility or medical	
diagnoses for ages (0) through (2) Documentation of	
current Part B eligibility for ages (3) through (21),	
3. Documentation of current IFSP or medical diagnoses, or	
current IEP,	
4. Documentation of private parental placement *if UBP chooses to enroll on a private basis	
U.S.M. Statewide	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Proposed Procedures	needed.
Documentation of numbers 1-6 above shall be maintained on file	needed.
for each child served by an approved state funded teacher and be	
available upon request by the Mississippi Department of Education	
(MDE).	
Concerns	
(no comment)	
Suggested Procedures	
(no comment)	
U.S.M. Statewide	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Proposed Procedures	needed.
The university-based program shall submit annual child count data	
in accordance with the procedures of the Office of Special	
Education. An assurance from the university-based program that	

Comment	MDE Response
data for each child served has been verified and is maintained on	
file shall be forwarded to the MDE when the teacher unit is	
requested for approval.	
Concerns	
(no comment)	
Suggested Procedures	
(no comment)	
U.S.M. Statewide	MDE will revise the timeline for submitting teacher unit request
Proposed Procedures	beginning in the 2021-2022 school year.
The administrator of the university-based program shall submit	Clarification will be provided through technical assistance and
Teacher Allocation data in accordance with the teacher unit	training. No change needed
reimbursement request process required by the Office of Special	
Education. Teacher units shall be allocated based on the teacher	
certificate requirements of special schools under the Office of	
Accreditation and each teacher being responsible for the	
educational instruction of a minimum of ten (10) children. The	
Maximum number of children served per teacher is fourteen (14).	
Any request for a teacher to serve fewer than ten (10) or more than	
fourteen (14) children shall be made in writing to the Office od	
Special Education and shall include the reason for the request.	
Approval shall be based on the schedule of the teacher and	
instructional needs of the children. All exemptions of the minimum	
and maximum number of children served by a teacher shall be	
reviewed and approved by the Deputy State Superintendent, Chief Academic Officer.	
Concerns	
Concerns Clarification needed for a request to serve the number of	
children outside that range.	
The timeline of this needs to be addressed. The current	
practice of approving teacher units in July when teachers are	
already hired and prepared to begin August 1 is untenable. LEAs	
typically provide contracts to teachers by April 15, implying that	
teacher units are secured and, thus, jobs can be offered by that	

Comment	MDE Response
date. Approval would ideally occur in the spring, with more	
clarification provided regarding the request to service children	
outside the 10-14 range.	
The DuBard School's current minimum of 8 students per teacher	
allows the program to provide the intensity of services needed for	
students with significant speech, language, and/or academic	
difficulties. The Children's Center ratios typically fall between 10-	
20 children per teacher due to the population served not requiring	
or tolerating full day instruction (babies, toddlers, and	
preschoolers with complex disabilities). Requests and approvals for	
variations from the 10 minimum and 14 maximum teacher:child	
ratio should be achieved with ease throughout the school year or	
during the Teacher Allocation Data request in the spring, knowing	
that babies are born with significant disabilities all year. Delays in	
enrolling children in specialized educational services, due to	
awaiting administrative approval, would be extremely detrimental	
to a child's development.	
Suggested Procedures	
The administrator of the university-based program shall submit	
preemptive Teacher Allocation data in early spring, based on the	
December 1 child count, in accordance with the teacher unit	
reimbursement request process required by the Office of Special	
Education. Teacher units shall be allocated based on the teacher	
certificate requirements of special schools under the Office of	
Accreditation and each teacher being responsible for the education	
instruction of a minimum of ten (10) children. The Maximum	
number of children served per teacher is fourteen (14) children	
shall be made in writing to the Office of Special Education and	
shall include the reason for the request. Approval shall be based on	
the schedule of the teacher and instructional needs of the children.	
All exemptions of the minimum and maximum number of children	
served by a teacher shall be reviewed and approved by the Deputy	
State Superintendent, Chief Academic Officer.	

Comment	MDE Response
U.S.M. Statewide	MDE updated the policy to clarify that the only statutes under
Proposed Procedures	that framework still in effect are 37-19-7 (salary scale), 37-19-9
The administrative head of the facility is responsible for ensuring a	(performance-based compensation system), and 37-19-10
teacher approved for a teacher unit shall be paid based on the	(performance incentive program). The allocation of funds will be
salary scale, years of teaching experience, and salary schedule	tied to the certification of the teacher (A, AA, AAA, and AAAA)
requirements found in <u>Miss Code Ann. § 37-19-7</u> and ** § 37-19-17	and years of experience, and any potential supplements allowed
Concerns	by 37-19-7.
(no comment)	
Suggested Procedures	
**DELETE reference to Miss. Code Ann. § 37-19-17 as this	
was repealed in 1997	
U.S.M. Statewide	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Proposed Procedures	needed.
Teacher units shall be allocated and approved for the regular	
school session. The number of days the facility will provide a	
regular school session shall be in accordance with the MDE's	
regulations and <u>Miss Code Ann. § 37-151-7</u> (3) (c), <u>Miss Code Ann §</u>	
<u>37-3-49, §§ 37-13-61 through 69, Miss. Code Ann. § 37-151-5 (j)</u> ,	
and <u>Miss. Code Ann. § 37-151-7</u> (3) (c). The calendar dates of the	
beginning and ending of the regular school session shall be	
submitted to the Office of Special Education when requesting an	
allocation for a teacher unit.	
Concerns	
(no comment)	
Suggested Procedures	
(no comment)	
U.S.M. Statewide	MDE updated the policy to reflect this change in the section
3. Application Steps for University-Based Program Proposal	regarding Teacher Unit Funding.
Proposed Procedures	
The outline for the proposal which is to be submitted to the Office	MDE updated the policy to reflect the change in the section
of Special Education is as follows:	regarding Application Steps for University-Based Programs.
a. Title of the Program	

Comment	MDE Response
b. General Information	
i. Number, age and IDEA eligibility of students	
ii. Length of school Day (must be full day program to	
receive full funding)	
iii. Number of teachers requested teacher's name (if	
available) and certification (if available	
iv. Location and description of the classroom(s)	
c. A list of program objective	
d. An outline of program evaluation criteria	
e. A copy of the university/college approved policies and	
procedures as required	
f. An assurance that the university/college will comply with all	
applicable State Department of Education regulations	
relating to programs for students with disabilities. It is the	
responsibility of personnel who operate this program to be	
familiar with all regulations.	
Concerns Many shildren with complex health conditions connet attend a full	
Many children with complex health conditions cannot attend a full school day. These requirements are specific to teachers and state	
funding requirements are specific to teachers and state funding	
requirements for educators.	
Requirements for length of school day are stated in the <u>MS Code.</u>	
Miss Code Ann §37-13-67 for example.	
Per federal IDEA law, many children should not receive	
educational services in a classroom setting but should be served in	
their LRE. For some, this includes their home or daycare.	
Suggested Procedures	
ii. Length of instructional school day should include 5 1/2	
hours or 330 minutes of direct, individual, or group	
instruction per educator per day to receive full Teacher Unit	
funding.	
iii. Location and description of the classrooms(s) or	
educational environment (home, community, daycare)	

Comment	MDE Response
 U.S.M. Statewide Proposed Procedures Upon receipt of the proposal, Office of Special Education personnel shall review it and either approve it or provide feedback to university/college personnel may proceed with implementation. If teacher certification and number of eligible children is not available when the proposal is submitted, the MDE shall give tentative approval until such time as this information is received. Proposals shall be submitted for renewal every 3 years or any time there are substantial changes to the program. Concerns (no comment) 	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
Suggested Procedures (no comment)	
 Mississippi House of Representatives – Missy McGee, State Representative-House District 102 I am writing to you regarding the proposed changes for university-based programs by the Mississippi Department of Education. The Children's Center for Communication and Development and The DuBard School for Language Disorders at the University of Southern Mississippi, which are both in my district, are a tremendous asset to State and have been filling a void in available services for children with disabilities in Mississippi for over 30 years. These programs were built on the notion that all children with disabilities should have the same access to high quality, comprehensive education and therapy, regardless of their social standing or financial status. The changes proposed by MDE will push these programs from a cost-free public option into private, tuition-based entities, causing hundreds of children each year to go without services that literally change the trajectory of their lives, and ultimately resulting in the 	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed. Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to reflect this. Clarification will be provided through training and technical assistance.

Comment	MDE Response
I cannot overstate the gravity of this consequence. The lives of one	
of Mississippi's most vulnerable populations, babies and children	
with disabilities, are at stake. Families of children with the most	
complex diagnoses will have nowhere to turn should a school	
district decide that they can provide adequate services without	
utilizing the extensive resources of a UBP. Parents will lose	
everything they have in order to pay for services for their child.	
Caregivers will have to quit their jobs and apply for unemployment	
to stay home and care for their child. Children who have the	
potential, after receiving services from these programs, to enter the	
public school system will be institutionalized or homebound,	
receiving disability benefits for the rest of their lives.	
Funding for services for children age 0-2 must continue.	
Additionally, state funds for all children with disabilities must not	
be redirected through school districts. Lastly, should a revision be	
necessary when it comes to the way federal funding flows to UBPs,	
that can be done without the requirement of an M.O.U. between a	
UBP and district for every child, which would add a heavy	
administrative burden on both districts and UBPs and would	
further delay services.	
Thank you for your service to the children of our state and for your	
consideration of the request. I hope that these proposals have been	
further researched and reconsidered since your last board meeting.	
However, please know that we are prepared to offer a legislative	
remedy during the 2022 legislation session if necessary.	
Mississippi State Senate – Senator John A. Polk, District	No proposed change to policy recommended. No change needed.
44	
I am writing regarding university-based programs (UBPs),	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
specifically the DuBard School for Language Disorders and The	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
Children's Center for Communication and Development at the	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
University of Sothern Mississippi. These public-school programs	through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to
also are known as USM Statewide Schools #1808 and, in essence,	reflect this change. Additional clarification will be provided
function as public educational entities on the continuum of	through training and technical assistance.

Comment	MDE Response
As you know, the federal government does not dictate the way state	
funds flow to educational entities. Considering that, I request that	
MDE act immediately to clarify in writing to UBPs and school	
districts the plans for future state funding to university-based	
programs. If federal funding distributions must be adjusted, that is	
a relatively small amount compared to state funding. An inordinate	
amount of time has been utilized by all involved to address these	
critical issues. Please act not to clarify the matter so that the UBPs	
can get back to what they do bestproviding intensive, high quality	
services that daily improve the lives of children with disabilities.	
Patricia Martin, Ed, CCC-SLP – Assistant Director,	No proposed changes to policy. No change needed.
Dubard School for Language Disorders	
As a speech-language pathologist working at the USM DuBard	
School for Language Disorders for 19 years, I can say, without a	
doubt, that the miracles that happen here are just thatmiracles.	
The team of professionals who work here, the school board	
members, USM, and our community work together to meet the	
needs of students with specific and unique speech-language-	
hearing and reading disorders. I am blessed to be a small part of	
this service to students and their families.	
As assistant director, my primary job is to work directly with	
families and their local school districts regarding placement	
at the DuBard School. These relationships have worked VERY	
WELL through the legal IEP process for many years! I appreciate the working relationships that have been cultivated with the 25+	
special education directors/school districts whom we currently	
work alongside. They trust us to do the right thing and we have	
done the right thing.	
One of my many concerns is that the proposed changes regarding	
UBPs will sever relationships with LEAs. We have been assured	
that the proposed process of incorporating an MOU into our	
current process "should not be a problem" and that it is the LEAs	
responsibility to ensure that FAPE is being met. I want to stand	
responsibility to choure that it is being net. I want to Stand	1

Comment	MDE Response
 on record as stating that FAPE has been met and the LEAs have done this with every child that they have agreed to place at the DuBard School through the IEP process. If this bond of trust is broken by this "MOU requirement" and redirection of federal funds, the CHILDREN and their families will ultimately pay the price. This change in policy will create more work for them and discontent with the DuBard School (and other UBPs) which will result in fewer students being easily placed for specialized and intensive services. <u>Mississippi will fail these children and their families.</u> 	
Thank you for your work thus far on the revisions of this policy. Please consider creating a <u>complete</u> task force with members who represent ALL those affected by this policy change to ensure that these special children continue to receive the intensive services that they deserve.	
Maureen K. Martin, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, CEDCALT-QI – Director of DuBard School for Language Disorders	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
I write with enormous concern about the proposal reflected in the Mississippi Department of Education memo dated November 6, 2020, regarding university-based programs (UBPs), specifically the USM Statewide Schools #1808, the DuBard School for Language Disorders and The Children's Center for Communication & Development. This memo, indicating that all funds will flow through local educational agencies (LEAs) to UBPs effective with	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to reflect this change. Additional clarification will be provided through training and technical assistance.
the 2021-22 academic year, reflects a profound change in the existing, effective, and well-established funding mechanisms.These changes, if implemented, will decimate the programs.I respectfully request consideration of the following critical points:	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the requirements under §300.200, including submitting a plan that provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the conditions in §§ 300.201.

	Comment	MDE Response
•	These public, not private, UBPs function as an <i>extension</i> of	
	the 25 or more school districts with which they work	
	annually. The UBPs are on the <i>continuum of placement</i>	
	alternatives as required under the Individuals with	
	Disabilities Education Act. As you know, options for	
	students with disabilities in many school districts are	
	extremely limited. The UBPs provide an alternative	
	placement, and highly specialized, intensive services which	
	frequently means that, when returning to the LEAs,	
•		
	▲ ·	
•		
	5	
	1 57 5	
	0 0 1	
1	activities. Any funds not set aside by the state must be	
•	students often require far fewer special education services than would have been necessary otherwise. Why is this so? LEAs have some superior staff. However, with their typically heavy caseloads, they are unable to provide the <i>intensity</i> of services needed. UBPs and LEAs complement each other. It has been stated that the November 6, 2020, memo is in error and that only federal IDEA funds will be impacted, not state funds. If that is the case, why has MDE been so reticent to correct the errors in writing? Verbal information is helpful. Written information is what stands until retracted, corrected, or replaced. It has yet to be proven that the current flow of federal funds is incorrect. Since IDEA funds flow directly from MDE to other state entities, such as the Mississippi School for the Deaf and the Mississippi School for the Blind, why is the flow of funds to UBPs in question? Certainly, The University of Southern Mississippi is a state entity, and the USM Statewide Schools #1808 are statewide programs. I respectfully request that MDE carefully consider this language from the US Department of Education, Office of Special Education (OSEP): <i>Most of the federal funds</i> <i>provided to states must be passed on to LEAs. However, a</i> portion of the funds may be used for state-level	

Comment	MDE Response
Commentpassed through to LEAs. These sub-state allocations are made in a fashion similar to that used to allocate funds among states when the amount available for allocation to States increases.Source: https://www2.ed.gov/programs/osepgts/index.html• It should be clearly noted that the UBPs have worked diligently throughout their histories to provide all required reports to MDE in a timely manner. In addition, in the case	MDE Response
 of the DuBard School for Language Disorders serving school age children, every state assessment that is required in the LEAs is conducted annually as directed by MDE. Each student also receives individual assessments annually to document progress. An extraordinary amount of data supporting the efficacy of the program is available. Children's services already are being delayed because some LEAs will not place additional children until clarity is received from MDE. This crisis for UBPs already has cost taxpayers and donors 	
 many thousands of dollars to cover the extraordinary amount of personnel time used to deal with this matter over the course of the past six months. That does not include the use of hundreds of hours of volunteer time given to assist in managing this crisis. The time, energy, and resources could have been spent far more effectively in providing services to our most vulnerable children. It is inexcusable that the programs have sought clarity for months, only to begin to receive somewhat collaborative communications once the public comment period has been underway. 	

Comment	MDE Response
• It is incredibly disheartening that a lead state agency would	
create such a crisis rather than collaborating and supporting	
these stellar programs. Disseminating a memo of a critical	
nature without the common courtesy and professionalism to	
bring those affected into conversation prior is indicative of	
extreme disregard for the programs which have provided	
over 100 combined years of service to the State of	
Mississippi. At a minimum, it is indicative of an	
extraordinary lack of management skills at the state level.	
I had the privilege of serving at the DuBard School for Language	
Disorders for over 41 years, 28 years as director. During that time,	
enormous growth, made possible through the generosity of donors	
and the cooperation of The University of Southern Mississippi,	
provided increasing services to children and increasing resources	
to LEAs. The UBPs are not something that is broken that requires	
fixing. In fact, they should be celebrated and <i>utilized as the models</i>	
for others that they are.	
Cynthia Bivins - Parent advocate and retired interim	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
director and special educator, The Children's Center for	needed.
Communication and Development	
I am writing to submit my perspective on the changes under	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
consideration, as outlined in the above subject line. It is my	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
understanding that MDE is considering changes that fall under	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
three broad categories:	through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to
1. continuation of funding of special education and related services	reflect this change. Additional clarification will be provided
for the population of children ages birth through two years who meet MDE eligibility requirements for special education;	through training and technical assistance.
2. documentation of a collaborative agreement/contract between	Extended School Year Services are a provision of FAPE. The IEP
each child's local school district (LSD) and The Children's Center	committee of any student placed by an LEA in a UBP will include
for Communication and Development (hereafter, The Children's	UBP personnel and LEA personnel. Additional clarification will
Center) for each child placed in services through The Children's	be provided through training and technical assistance. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
Center, to include any cost for said services accrued by either the	
LSD or parent of said student;	
3. determination of eligibility per pupil and funding for Extended	
School Year (ESY) and associated Transportation costs as	
a function of LSD exclusively, including the routing of associated	
funding through each LSD.	
I offer my perspectives on the above considerations not only as	
a retired special educator with 36 years teaching experience, but	
also as the parent of a 41-year-old man with Down syndrome, who	
received services through The Children's Center from age 16	
months, when we moved to Hattiesburg, to his enrollment in his	
local school district special education services at age 6 years. As I	
write this, he is hard at work at The Hattiesburg Zoo, living proof	
of the return on investment, MDE's financial support of The	
Children's Center. Full disclosure: 32 of my 36 years teaching were	
spent on staff at The Children's Center. I am deeply invested in its	
continued success as an exemplary program of direct services for	
its students, their families, and the pre-professionals, para-	
professionals and professionals it trains. Toward this end, I have	
dedicated my life to the provision of high quality early intervention	
services so critical to the wellbeing of families who find themselves	
in need of these services; however, I will not belabor the well-	
documented efficacy of early intervention in general nor the	
accolades accrued by The Children's Center across its generations	
of leadership in our state. I trust the empirical and anecdotal data	
suffice.	
Regarding consideration #2, it has been my experience that each	
student's IEP is developed through the collaborative effort of said	
student's parent(s), Children's Center personnel, LSD	
representative(s), and any additional IEP committee members	
invited by this core membership. The IEP documents all aspects of	
the instructional services to be provided. As these services are	
provided and funded by The Children's Center at no cost to the	

Comment	MDE Response
LSD, the student's family, or any other provider, "tuition" is not	
applicable. Information regarding The Children's Center's funding	
is provided to all parties and is widely available through public	
sources and publications. It is this remarkable public-private	
endeavor (MDE/federal funds/USM space combined with Center	
fundraising, private donations, etc.) that enables The Children's	
Center to offer its unparalleled services. Should MDE determine	
that the funding aspect of each child's IEP services be delineated in	
writing, I would hope that the least cumbersome, most cost-	
effective method be devised. It would be counterproductive to	
require a method that unduly burdens any of the involved parties.	
If the current arrangement of understanding among all parties	
does not satisfy MDE requirements, surely one document per LSD	
and The Children's Center would suffice, as opposed to a	
separate document per student. Historically, written confirmation	
of these understandings and verbal and/or written agreements	
have been kept on file in The Children's Center, documenting each	
LSD's position on provision of services by The Children's Center.	
This step has always been covered prior to the commencement of	
assessment and/or eligibility determination for services, the first	
step following referral of a child. Perhaps MDE would develop in	
writing a document to be utilized by The Children's Center (all	
UBPs) and all LSDs, in order to assure all MDE	
concerns/requirements are addressed and satisfied. A single	
agreement per pupil would ideally cover said student's enrollment	
in The Children's Center until that student changes districts, ages	
out of or fails to meet eligibility requirements for services. Families	
deserve that level of certainty regarding their young child's	
education, just as families of school-age children do not face yearly	
uncertainty about LSD catchment areas.	
Regarding consideration #3, it is my opinion that Extended School	
Year (ESY) services fall under the realm of each child's educational	
plan and as such, eligibility and funding for ESY are functions of	

Comment	MDE Response
the provider of services during the school year. In practice, these determinations must be developed by those parties most familiar with the learning patterns and progress of each student, as periodically documented on each child's IEP by the IEP committee throughout the regular school year. Clearly, common sense dictates that ESY decisions are best made by the parents and professionals most closely involved with each child's education. It is extremely rare to find a student this well known to LSD personnel. As the IEP meeting for ESY already includes LSD representation, and the implementation/funding of ESY services is not generated by the LSD, I cannot see why the funding must now be routed through the LSD. Just as the joint decision is made regarding the regular school year services, the provision of an "extension" to the school year should flow seamlessly to students who meet the qualifications for ESY and whose parents wish to participate. I fail to see the rational for or benefit from a change in this procedure. Submitted for your consideration and with gratitude for the critical, outstanding services my child and thousands of other young learners have received at no monetary cost through The Children's Center, I am,	
Taylor Thomas – Speech Pathology graduate student at The University of Southern Mississippi and previous graduate assistant at The Children's Center for Communication and DevelopmentMy name is Taylor Thomas. I am currently Speech Pathology graduate student at The University of Southern Mississippi and a previous graduate assistant at The Children's Center. TCC has greatly impacted my education! The clinicians there are absolutely incredible! They are so passionate, caring, and intentional in everything they do there. The clinicians do an amazing job attending to the children's needs and at the same time explaining	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
the "why" behind what they are doing to graduate students like me.	
Every second spent at TCC was a learning experience. I have	
learned from some of the most creative, experienced, and	
knowledgeable professionals and I treasured every moment	
learning from them. I have been able to see collaboration between	
Speech-Language Pathologists, Occupational Therapists, Physical	
Therapists, Audiologists, Behavioral Therapists, and parents. Even	
though I am a Speech Pathology major, the other professionals	
(OT, PT, AUD, BT) took time to explain what they were doing and	
why they were doing it. I wasn't treated as only an SLP student. I	
got the privilege to see ALL of the "whys" behind the care that	
these children receive. TCC's primary goal is to provide	
personalized services to children in need and to set them up for	
success, but a close second to that would be providing a solid,	
informational, and supportive education and experience for	
students like me. I am forever grateful for The Children's Center	
Linda Lott, retired CCC-SLP – Former Speech Language	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Pathologist in Public Schools and the DuBard School for	needed.
Language Disorders	
I am a retired SLP and spent my entire forty-year career in public	
school settings and at the DuBard School for Language	
Disorders University-based programs have always worked with	
and coordinated beautifully with the local school systems, and I	
strongly feel the current financial appropriation process should	
continue since it has worked successfully for decades. Currently,	
university-based programs have provided services at no cost to	
parents or school districts. With the changes proposed in Policy	
74,Rule 74.8, schools will be hesitant to refer children to	
university-based programs because it will be viewed as "paying	
tuition". In turn, these university-based programs will be impacted	
and damaged greatly. As a professional who has witnessed the	
enormous effects and life-changing benefits DuBard School and	

Comment	MDE Response
others have had on children and their families, I am strongly	
opposed to this change in policy. Families have relocated to	
Hattiesburg from all over the country in order for their children to	
receive intensive services, and these services should not be	
interrupted. The working relationship between university-based	
programs and Special Education Directors in local school systems	
has been very successful for years and years, and I would hate to	
see that change as well. I especially feel this change will limit	
services for children who need intensive speech, language, and	
hearing services. My understanding, too, is that most school	
systems do not want these changes either. Please reconsider the	
idea of making allocation policy changes because many children	
with speech, language, and hearing disorders will be negatively	
impacted by the change. These children need every opportunity to	
reach their full potential.	
Brenda Goza, retired CCC-SLP – Former Speech	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Language Pathologist in Public Schools and the DuBard	needed.
School for Language Disorders	
	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
It has been called to my attention that a change is being considered	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
that concerns the flow of state funding to University Based	to flow directly to University-Based programs for students birth
Programs to include The DuBard School for Language Disorders	through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through
and The Children's Center. I am a retired Speech-Language	training and technical assistance.
Pathologist who spent my entire career serving children within the	
public school system before moving to The DuBard School for my	
last ten years. I have always found university based programs to	
have an excellent relationship with the Special Education Directors	
and teachers in local districts, and it is my understanding that	
Special Ed Directors do not want these proposed changes either.	
The system that has been in place for decades has been very	
beneficial for everyone. The services were provided at no cost to	
parents or the local school districts. If changes are made to the	
existing policy, school districts will be seen as "paying tuition" and	

Comment	MDE Response
be hesitant to place children who desperately need intensive	
services in University Based Programs. This would be a	
tragedy! University Based Programs would die!	
So many families from across our nation have moved to our city to	
place their children in these programs for the intensive services	
they provide and SO many have benefited and are very successful	
young men and women today due to the early remediation of their	
speech, language, or hearing deficits!	
I strongly oppose State Board Policy 74, Rule 74,8, University	
Based Programs for these reasons and many more. Please	
reconsider this policy and allow the flow of state funds to remain as	
it has been done successfully for decades.	
Edward J Langton – CEO and Chairman of Grand Bank	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
and Member of the DuBard School for Language	needed.
Disorders Advisory Board	
I have served the University of Southern Mississippi in many	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
capacities as a volunteer and alumni of the university. As past	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
president of the Alumni Association and USM Foundation I have	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
been intricately involved in the advancement of our university and	through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through
the services and benefits it offers to its students, community and	training and technical assistance.
the state of Mississippi. As a volunteer I have also served on a	
number of State Boards so I appreciate the work of the MDE's	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
Board.	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must
For the past 31 years I have served as a board member of the	meet the State's definition of LEA and must meet the
advisory board for the DuBard School and have worked with the	requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that
Children's Center. Both are classified as public schools (USM	provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
Statewide 1808) under state statute 37-23-31 through 35 and	conditions in §§ 300.201. No change needed.
receive their funding from both State and Federal sources. These	
are classified as University Based Programs. The Federal Funding	The MDE is requiring a MOU or Collaborative agreement
is approximately 6% of its funding. The State Department of	between the LEA and the UBP to outline how state and federal
Education provides the other nearly 94% of funding.	funds will be utilized to cover special education and related
For the past 30 years funding for the University Based Programs	services at the university-based programs to ensure the student
has been an extraordinarily smooth operation without problem.	receives a FAPE. The collaborative agreement will delineate

Comment	MDE Response
The needy students who require disability assistance receive it via	individualized services, responsibilities, and other costs related
the public education funding mechanism. These programs at the	placement of students in the university-based programs.
State of Mississippi Universities are called University Based	
Programs (UBPs). <u>They are NOT private schools that are funded by</u>	
paid tuition from citizens.	
What has recently occurred is that a question arose by one UBP	
program from another university that addressed the way Federal	
Funding was administered. What has been required is that a	
student with a disability was provided with an Individual	
Educational Plan (IEP) to entitle that student to be provided an	
education in what is termed a Least Restrictive Environment and	
receive Federal money via the Local Education Districts otherwise	
known as LEAs – Local Education Agencies. The IEPs spell out	
what individually tailored plan a student will receive regarding	
services and proper placement. The change proposed for Federal	
funding is due to an alleged technicality with Federal Law that can	
be easily addressed to be compliant.	
However, the Department of Education has gone past the need for	
any change to federal funding by now adding state funding to this	
equation. The need to have a Collaborative Agreement (also known	
as a Memorandum of Understanding, MOU, would be a new	
requirement NOT required by State Statute). The Individual	
Education Program (IEP) meets with state funding requirements.	
This will result in dire unintended consequences and requires	
serious study that should not be required pursuant to current	
regulations and procedures. The present mechanism of funding of	
state dollars has worked smoothly for over 30 years and not in	
need of change. The present State Statute provisions contained in	
37-23- 1, through 205 actually addresses how it should be done and	
is not in need of change What is proposed is a change in	
Administrative Policy and not the law.	
The new procedure being proposed for State Funding will require	
EACH student to have separate Collaborative Agreement	

Comment	MDE Response
(MOU/Contract) that would have to be individually funded to local	
school districts (Local Education Agency-LEAs) BEFORE being	
funded to the University Based programs. The local school boards	
and superintendents would be required to individually address	
each student before being able to fund that student. With over 39	
school districts and hundreds of children, this would impose an	
administrative nightmare. It is NOT needed and further	
complicates an already efficiently and uncomplicated process for	
the student, parents school district and university based program.	
The focus here should be the STUDENT and not otherwise!	
Pursuant to our legal counsel, the MOU is not required by either	
State or Federal Law (the IDEA). It is an administrative document	
being required by MDE that is duplicative of the requirements of	
the IDEA and state law and repeats what is in the IEP for the child.	
In fact, for every IEP that is written and mended the MOU would	
have to be amended also to reflect the changes in the IEP. This	
results in a total waste of time, unnecessary and an administrative	
burden. If a UBP wanted to charge more than the state and federal	
funding that is providing, it could execute a very simple MOU with	
the school district to reflect those charges.	
The MOU simply is not necessary. However, if an MOU is going to	
be approved by the State Board, it should be a general MOU	
describing the relationship between the UBP and the school	
district, not child specific. In that case, there would be only one per	
district.	
We have only recently been afforded the opportunity to discuss this	
proposed change in funding and believe it should not be changed	
for numerous reasons. Before any decision is made pursuant to	
State Funding there should be proper due diligence and analysis of	
the new process. We implore you to extend that much needed time	
to do so and not rush into something that will detrimentally affect	
these children with disabilities.	

Comment	MDE Response
Otherwise this proposal should not be implemented for State	•
Funding and only for Federal Funding.	
Thank you for you in depth analysis and discernment before any	
decision is made.	
Edward J Langton – CEO and Chairman of Grand Bank	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
and Member of the Dubard School for Language	needed.
Disorders Advisory Board	
My letter was completed prior to a 5-22-21 meeting at the DuBard	
School at which representatives from MDE and Chairs of the	
Education committees of both the legislature and senate were in	
attendance as well as others. That meeting was an excellent	
collaboration between all the parties to address the concerns of my	
attached letter. I am extremely grateful for the wonderful	
cooperation of all parties to come together to resolve some of the	
serious concerns we have as well as unintended consequences that	
may result from the first proposal made May 20, 2021.	
I thank each and everyone of you for listening and addressing	
resolution of our concerns. I serve on the DuBard School Advisory	
Committee.	
Patti Rogers, MSW, LMSW – Member of the DuBard	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
School for Language Disorders Advisory Board	needed.
My name is Patti Rogers, and I am a board member of the DuBard	
School for Language Disorders on the campus of USM in	
Hattiesburg. It is indeed a pleasure to address you today regarding	
the DuBard School, and the proposed changes, as relates to	
APA/Public Comment—State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8,	
University-Based Programs. The school serves as a distinct entity	
within our community and abroad. It is a one-of-a -kind service	
provider in the state for children with significant delays in	
language, speech, and hearing. As a social worker, I have seen	
firsthand, the psychological, social, and emotional stressors	
children often experience associated with having significant	
learning deficits. The children who attend DuBard, learn best in an	

Comment	MDE Response
environment that fosters their ability to grasp concepts and	
ideologies that they would not receive at their local school districts.	
These children daily receive an array of special, student-centered,	
one-on-one help from a highly trained staff at the school.	
The school provides much needed benefits to many	
children/students in our community, which has a trickle-down	
effect: beginning with the child, which affects the family; thus,	
affecting the community. The stories I have personally heard, from	
countless parents/caretakers, have been proof-positive that the	
school is continuously making profound changes in the lives of	
their students. They are told with a level of gratitude and humility	
that silences any room when heard.	
Please help DuBard continue to provide the much-needed,	
intensive services to our children, by reconsidering the proposed	
changes outlined within State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8,	
University-Based Programs. Our goal is to offer the continuity of	
these crucial services, without delay, to the children of our	
community. Thank you and your staff for all you do to propel our	
state's educational system forward for a better Mississippi.	
Dan Kibodeaux - Member of the DuBard School for	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Language Disorders Advisory Board	needed.
I am writing to you concerning the proposed changes for	
university-based programs (UBPs) that I am convinced will	
decrease, if not eliminate, the effectiveness of two exceptional	
resources for our children and their families: The Children's Center	
for Communication and Development and The DuBard School for	
Language Disorders. I am writing from the perspective of:	
• A manager of the local Social Security office for 17 years,	
processing the childhood disability claims for children with	
a wide variety of disabling conditions and disorders;	
• The executive director of United Way of Southeast	
Mississippi for 10 years (and a volunteer for 10 years before	

Comment	MDE Response
 that), providing funding and support to both agencies and evaluating their work throughout our United Way's four-county service area; and, A member of the DuBard School's advisory board for the past 7 years, seeing first-hand the exceptional level of service that is provided to our children and their families 	
Both UBPs provide crucial and intensive educational and therapeutic services for children as well as unwavering support for their families that could not be duplicated by the public school without incurring significant additional expenses in human resources and plant facilities. A broad spectrum of services is now brought to bear to the benefit of the children in a manner that school districts could not quickly and effectively replicate, especially districts in poorer, more rural areas. The result of the proposed changes would delay or eliminate crucial services to children who desperately need and deserve them. The "economy of scale" provided by these UBPs yields the most effective possible use of the taxpayers' dollars. But this is not just about economics. And it's not just about doing what's right for children and families. When our policies are formulated and rules are made, they should reflect the best of who we are. A few years ago, I watched tears roll down the cheeks of a father whose 11-year-old son had just spoken to a large audience for the first time. I remember thinking: <i>What if that was my child?</i> Thank you for your consideration of my comments and for the work you do for our children.	
Richie Elkins Malone – Member of the DuBard School for	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Language Disorders Advisory Board	needed.
I am a Dubard School Advisory Board member and have been	
made aware of MDE's proposed funding changes that would	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
negatively affect the Dubard School.	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth

Comment	MDE Response
I am 56 years old and do not have any children of my own, so my	through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through
nieces and nephews have been my kids. The first grandchild born	training and technical assistance.
into our family came into the world with multiple	
challenges. Thank goodness my brother and his wife were able to	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
get my niece into Dubard when she was about vears old to start	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency meet
addressing her mild mental retardation and communication	the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the requirements
disorders. As a result of her years at Dubard, she learned to speak,	under §300.200, including submitting a plan that provides
write in cursive and read. Today she is years old and has held a	assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the conditions
full-time job since she finished her public high school	in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.
education. She is a productive employee, a taxpayer, and a good	
citizen. Our family has been enabled to communicate with this	
funny, kind and loving young lady who is constantly achieving	
more than we ever thought possible.	
I credit the world-class teachers and staff at Dubard for rescuing	
Ivy from being a drain on our society and, instead, giving her the	
power to live to the full and make a difference in the lives of others.	
With limited funding, Dubard has been doing this same thing from	
its beginning for countless others. I have always wondered how	
they maintain such a high level of excellence and professionalism	
on such tight budgets. But, they do. They manage very well - for	
the sake of the children.	
Please reconsider any funding changes that would set this	
amazing program back.	
Michael W. McPhail – Member of the DuBard School for	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Language Disorders Advisory Board	needed.
I am writing in response to the Mississippi Department of	
Education's notice of intent to revise funding policy for university-	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
based programs. And after reading the revisions, I humbly ask that	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
the MDE not make these changes.	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
I am an advisory board member for The Children's Center for	through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through
Communication and Development at The University of Southern	training and technical assistance.
Mississippi and a retired Mississippi County and Youth Court	

Comment	MDE Response
Judge. I served for over 34.5 years and during that time I gained a	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
lot of knowledge on children with disabilities and the need for	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, a agency must
services. Especially, I am proud of Forrest County being one of	meet the State's definition of LEA and must meet the
four locations in our nation selected as an initial project site to be a	requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that
model court for the Zero to Three project for infants and toddlers	provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
who were coming into the court system for reasons of child abuse	conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.
and neglect. And through the many years our court was and is still	
involved in ZTT, I learned of the need for early childhood	The MDE is requiring a MOU or a Collaborative agreement
intervention with children with special needs and	between the LEA and the UBP to outline how state and federal
disabilities. Moreover, with many community partners, as USM's	funds will be utilized to cover special education and related
Children's Center, I witnessed how early intervention benefitted	services at the university-based programs to ensure the student
children and families	receives a FAPE. The collaborative agreement will delineate to
Overall, after researching and discussing with others, I do not see	individualized services, responsibilities, and other costs related to
the rationale for the significant revision changes that are to occur	placement of students in the university-based programs.
from the revision of State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, as to	
UBP's. In fact with redirecting funds to the school districts rather	
than to the UBP and requiring the M.O.U.'s, will be significantly	
problematic and many children and families will not receive	
services that are needed for the child's development. More	
importantly, why cut out the infant to age 2 inclusion when	
research has shown that is when the greatest percentage of brain	
development is occurring!	
In closing, I grew up in a home with a disabled sister. She was	
Down's Syndrome. And that was many years ago with very few, if	
any, services for my sister. And that made it hard for my parents,	
but they persevered in the face of the difficulty in finding services. The dedication that my parents had to my sister is unmeasurable to	
her life's pathway. So, let us now fifty-plus years later not shut the	
door on parents and families who need services for their children.	
Please do not revise the funding policies for university-based	
programs!	
Thank you for your consideration!	

Comment	MDE Response
Anita Henderson, MD, FAAP – Member of the Board for	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
The Children's Center for Communication and	needed.
Development, President-Mississippi Chapter of the	
American Academy of Pediatrics	
I am writing to you as a pediatrician and a board member for The	
Children's Center for Communication and Development at The	
University of Southern Mississippi. I recently was made aware of	
proposed changes to state policy and procedures related to the	
funding of University-Based Programs(UBP). These proposed	
changes would likely have significant negative impacts on my	
patients and their educational objectives.	
I have had many children over the last 25 years here in Hattiesburg	
and south Mississippi who have been served by the DuBard School	
for Language Disorders and The Children's Center for	
Communication and Development. As you both know, early	
intervention by quality therapists is critical to improved outcomes	
for our little patients. These new proposed changes would add	
extra layers of bureaucracy and red tape and would likely result in	
many patients falling through the cracks, unable to receive the	
services and therapies they need.	
The Children's Center currently serves 145 students birth through	
age 5 and provides speech therapy, physical therapy, occupational	
therapy and more in an intensive manner for children with delays	
in multiple areas. The Dubard School serves 80 students age 3-14	
with significant oral and written language disorders. I have	
personally had patients who were essentially non-verbal graduate	
from the DuBard School, subsequently excel in traditional school and then go off to college. One such patient recently let me know	
he had a 4.0 his first year at college and now wants to be a	
neonatologist. Last week he job shadowed my husband Dr. Randy	
Henderson in the Forrest General NICU.	
Success stories like this one are common with University-Based	
Programs. Please reconsider the proposed changes to funding and	
1 rograms, r rease reconsider the proposed changes to fulluling allu	

Comment	MDE Response
the flow of federal dollars. If implemented these changes will likely result in delays, limitations in treatment and ultimately the closing of successful programs like The DuBard School, The Children's Center for Communication and Development and others. We cannot afford to let this happen and we cannot allow Mississippi children fall further behind. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have additional questions. Robert T. Jackson, Sr – Chair, DuBard School	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Foundation Trust and former member of the DuBard School for Language Disorder's Advisory Board I am writing regarding the DuBard School for Language Disorders and The Children's Center for Communication & Development, university-based programs (UBPs) at The University of Southern Mississippi. These programs are a point of pride, not only for our community but for the region and the state of Mississippi. It is a grave concern that, according to a Mississippi Department of Education memo dated November 6, 2020, funding is in jeopardy. The UBPs established under Mississippi Code §37-23-31, maintain excellent working relationships with more than 25 Mississippi public school districts annually. For decades, children with significant disabilities have attended the UBPs at no cost to school districts or parents. Changing funding to flow through school districts, rather than directly from the state, will add burdensome administrative processes and ultimately reduce the number of children served. My association with the DuBard School for Language Disorders at The University of Southern Mississippi spans a period of more than 20 years. During that time, I have served on the school's Advisory Board, as well as founder and chair of the DuBard School Foundation Trust for the benefit of the school. It was my privilege to serve as the legal counsel for the estate of Dr. Etoile DuBard, the founder of the school. In all of these years, I have known of	needed.

Comment	MDE Response
because of the services they received in the DuBard School.	
Families move from around the state and nation in order to access	
this nationally known program. It is common for these children to	
become adults who are independent, productive, tax-paying	
citizens as a result of their enrollment in the school.	
There is no reason to change something that has worked so well for	
decades. I strongly urge continued funding directly to the	
university-based programs.	
Katie Charleville – Special Education Director, Petal	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
School District	needed. Clarification will be provided through training and
The Petal School District has worked closely with the University of	technical assistance.
Southern Mississippi Children's Center for Communication	
Disorders and the DuBard School for Language Disorders for many	
years. We have worked collaboratively to ensure that students with	
significant communication and language disorders received quality	
services addressing these deficits in speech, language and	
communication. The University Based Programs have	
communicated frequently with the LEAs to share student progress	
and updates to facilitate a smooth transition for students as they	
return back to their regular school setting. Representatives from	
Petal School District have attended annual IEP meetings for	
students enrolled in the UBP to develop relationships with the	
parents and to stay up-to-date on the progress of our students.	
When the original policy was sent out to districts in November	
2020, we were not aware that this policy applied to us. All of our	
students were parentally placed, not LEA placed, therefore the	
students that we had attending these programs were not counted	
in the district's December 1 child count. Our district has now been	
notified that we will be responsible for sending the per pupil	
allocation to the UBP for the 2021-2022 school year, yet Petal	
School District will not receive the funding for these students for	
this school year. At this point, the Mississippi Department of	
Education has not determined what the per pupil allocation will be	

Comment	MDE Response
and districts are unable to calculate the total cost for these students	
to attend the UBPs. Districts need further clarification about how	
the funds will be funneled to the UBP and how those funds are to	
be recorded in MCAPS.	
The proposed policy also states that school districts and UBPs	
should have a collaborative agreement in place in order for	
students to be served through the UBP. All of the students' annual	
IEP meetings have been held for the 2021-2022 school year with	
recommended placement at the UBP. If a collaborative agreement	
is not in place prior to the start of the school year there is a concern	
about whether or not the students' services would be delayed until	
this has been completed.	
District representatives have participated in multiple meetings	
with MDE and the UBP and feel that there has been some great	
headway made in working through the proposed policy	
changes. At this time there are still some questions regarding the	
funding piece and the MOU/collaborative agreement that need to	
be clarified before finalizing the proposed policy. We ask that	
MDE continue to communicate with LEAs and UBP to specify the	
requirements of each entity as well as determine the cost to the	
district for placement of these students in the UBP. The UBPs	
provide valuable services to students that have significant speech,	
language, and communication disabilities and we look forward to	
continuing to work with them to provide necessary services to	
students.	
Michael Posey – Director of Student Services, Pearl River	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
County School District	needed.
I am writing to express my concerns about the changes proposed	
regarding university-based programs. The current process for	Additional clarification regarding placement, assessment, and
placement and funding is extremely efficient and beneficial for our	IEP process for students placed in a UBP will be provided
students, families and districts. The following are some concerns	through training and technical assistance.
about the changes being proposed:	

Comment	MDE Response
 Removing the UBP from participating with the district in the part B assessment, eligibility and IEP committee decision process would be an additional burden for my part time contracted psychometrist, my already overwhelmed and over worked district case manager, myself and many other staff members. Allowing the UBO to assist with this process is essential in helping us meet the needs of our students in a timely manner. Requiring a collaborative agreement/MOU to be in place for EVERY child placed with the UBP would be extremely time consuming. The paperwork required for a student with special needs is already overwhelming and the IEP clearly outlines the services and responsibilities of the UBP with the IEP outlining the services, an additional contract is unnecessary. Requiring state and federal funds assigned to the child to flow through the district simply complicates an already cumbersome project application process. The project application requires that funds assigned by location, making it extremely tedious. The addition of another location would not make it any easier. Removing birth (0) through (2) service options would be an immeasurable detriment to infants and toddlers with disabilities. These services ensure that these children have their specific needs addressed prior to starting school. Early 	The MDE is requiring a MOU or a collaborative agreement between the LEA and the UBP to outline how state and federal funds will be utilized to cover special education and related services, responsibilities, and other costs related to placement of students in the UBPs. Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through training and technical assistance. IDEA funds authorized under §300.705 can only be distributed to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the requirements under §300.200, including submitting a plan that provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213. The policy has been updated to reflect that the LEA shall remain the district of enrollment for students placed in the university- based program by the IEP Committee, or through due process, state complaint process, or binding mediation. The student shall be included in the LEA's December 1 Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for ensuring the student receives a FAPE. The UBP will count all students enrolled in their program the UBP December 1 child count for the purposes of funding MAEP
immeasurable detriment to infants and toddlers with disabilities. These services ensure that these children have their specific needs addressed prior to starting school. Early intervention is key to the success of our students and UBPs are extremely instrumental, not only in providing services, but in also helping families with acquiring any special equipment or devices the children may need to be successful	 be included in the LEA's December 1 Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for ensuring the student receives a FAPE. The UBP will count all students enrolled in their program the UBP December 1 child count for the purposes of funding MAEP Special Education teacher units. The MDE will verify FAPE for all students placed in a UBP by an LEA IEP Committee through the programmatic monitoring
in school.	process.

Comment	MDE Response
5. Requiring two separate IEP meetings per child, one without the UBP and one with the UBP, would be a burden for all involved, especially the families. We currently sit in on all IEP meetings with the UBP. Requiring us to have two meetings would double that time we need to set aside for this. Our district and all of the districts I am aware of, have a very good working relationship with UBPs and collaborate on the students placed there, making two meetings unnecessary.	
Additional Concerns:	
1. This is a MAJOR change, which should have been presented to Special Education Directors through an official meeting, virtually or in person. Prior to June 7, 2021, there had not been an official meeting for Special Education Directors since March 3, 2020. All communication was being done through email and voluntary office hours. This change was presented in an email that I must have missed due to being inundated with emails, many of them from MDE. I understand that MDE is still working from home and not having in person meetings. I served as Federal Programs Director this past year due to a co-worker retiring due to health reasons and Federal Programs has several virtual meetings with directors to review or discuss changes. I enjoyed participating in the MDE OSE virtual office hours when I could attend, but since it was considered optional, I was often overbooked and unable to attend. If I had been notified that virtual office hours meeting was mandatory or very important, then I would have made sure to attend.	

Comment	MDE Response
2. The email outlining changes was sent in November. The	
email stated that we needed to count the UBP students in	
our December 1 count, which is something we have never	
done. Because most directors and I missed this email, we	
did not include the students in question in our December 1	
count. Again, this is a significant change, which should have	
been presented to Directors in an official meeting.	
3. Because students at UBPs were not counted in our	
December 1 count they were not factored into our Teacher	
Units nor our budget allocations. This is going to be a	
burden on our teachers if the students are required to return	
to the district because we will not have the teacher units to	
cover them. It will be a burden financially if they remain at	
the UBP and we are required to pay for them to attend.	
4. We have been told that we will need to reconvene all IEPs	
for students at UBPs to reconsider their LRE. This would be	
extremely difficult for the district and cause undue stress for	
the families given that this situation is not likely to be	
resolved until at least July 15, 2021	
To have time to adequately prepare for these changes I respectfully	
request that this process be put on hold for the 2021-2022 school	
year in order to give us time. If that is not possible, I would like to	
request the following steps be allowed/followed to ease the burden:	
1. The UBP will continue to participate in the eligibility	
assessments and be included in the IEP Committee	
decisions	
2. The UBP will continue to submit December 1 count	
information directly to MDE (they counted students and	
submitted them already this year, December 2020) and	
will continue to include the child's LEA in the process	

Comment	MDE Response
3. The LEA will also add children enrolled in the UBP to	
their child count and code them as "out of school"	
4. Upon request, the LEA will verify with MDE that all	
information is correctly represented, and the children	
counted by the UBP are indeed enrolled and receiving	
FAPE prior to funds being distributed from MDE to the	
UBP. Thank you for your time and consideration.	
Julie Etheridge – Director of Special Services, Walthall	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed
County School District	No proposed changes to poncy recommended. No change needed
County School District	
I am writing to express my concerns about the proposed changes to	
the operation of the UBPs. I don't currently have any students at	
either of our local EBPs; however, I have had students at DuBard	
and USM's Children's Center in past years. The current process we	
use to place students is very effective and efficient. I feel that the	
current process we use for assessment and eligibility is very	
beneficial for the district, as well as children and families. The	
collaboration between the district and UBP is essential in	
determining what is best for our children. I also feel that only one	
IEP is appropriate and that that IEP should outline the services to	
be provided instead of using a MOU. I do understand that the fiscal	
piece is what is driving these proposed changes, but I hope that	
every effort can be made to streamline the process if it has to go	
through the LEAs and not change the setup of the UBPs.	
Jacquelyn S. Longmeier, M.S., CCC/SLP – Speech	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed
Language Pathologist	
It has come to my attention your office is planning to re-direct	
university-based program funds effective with the 2021-2022	
school year. Since this will severely impact the DuBard School for	
Language Disorders I felt the need to contact you. The collaboration between myself and the DuBard School for	
Language Disorders has been invaluable throughout my training	
Language Disorders has been invaluable unoughout my training	

Comment	MDE Response
and teaching career. Not only did I receive training in the DuBard Association Method as a graduate student at USM I have had the privilege of additional training, conferences, workshops, and actual teaching at the school located on campus. I have referred children to the DuBard School for evaluations, advice regarding placement, and additional suggestions for teaching. I have continued to use the DuBard Association Method in my own teaching with exceptional success in each case. The DuBard School for Language Disorders has received funding	MDE Kesponse
directly from the state to the UBP's for decades. Why do you need to change a program that serves so many students (39 districts in the last five years) and teachers so effectively? Dispersing funds from UBP's to other school districts is not well thought out. This action could severely restrict, or in the worst case, result in the closure of the programs offered to students through UBP's. Please reconsider your decision to redirect these funds from UBP's to local school districts.	
Rachel Powell, PhD, CCC-SLP – Past President, Mississippi Speech-Language-Hearing Association	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
I am writing regarding the APA/Public Comment for SBP 74, 74.8,	needed.
University Based Programs. I am opposed to the change of funding coming directly from the local school districts rather from the MDE to the university-based programs (UBPs). Some points for consideration.	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special Education teacher units and Transportation fuds will continue to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth through twenty-one. Clarification will be provided through training and technical assistance.
 UBPs provide services at no cost to the school districts or parents. By not funding UBPs directly, districts could end up incurring costs for the services provided by the UBPs. The current system of funding has worked efficiently for decades. By routing funds through the LEAs, school districts will be seen as "paying tuition" for a program that is currently provided at no cost to districts. 	IDEA funds authorized under §300.705 can only be distributed to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the requirements under §300.200, including submitting a plan that provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.

Comment	MDE Response
CommentI can't imagine making access to these services more difficult orimpossible for parents. The Children's Center is something that thestate of Mississippi is proud to have for our children. Why fixsomething that isn't broken and continues to improve the lives offamilies and children in our state? Please feel free to contact mefor any further discussion or comments on this matter.Rhonda Bayles, NBCTPlease, don't. Please don't make the needs of exceptional students,their families, and support groups any harder. Enacting changes tothe style of funding for all these programs will do just that.I have spent almost forty years of meeting the needs ofMississippi's children and know what a difference theseUniversity based programs make in these lives.I have walked the halls of Blair E. Batson to deliver materials to theteachers who work with ill children, trying to help them stay ontrack and return to my classroom prepared to resume life. I haveworked with siblings whose brother or sister and familiesbenefited from the summer programs at T.K. Martin. It allowedthose traditional students to meet others in their situation andrealize they are not alone. I have seen the amazing results that theDuBard School produces for a beloved friend's child who was ableto achieve a high level of autonomy. These University based	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
Please, leave the University programs in their current funding	
style. It has worked well for Mississippi children and families. Let's	
not mess with a good thing.	
and – Parents of a student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended; no changes
DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed
My husband and I have 3 children. Our oldest two are biological	
children, and our youngest (Const) is our adopted son from China.	
He became ours when he was turning two. He currently attends	
Dubard Sch <u>ool</u> in Hattiesburg, Mississippi, where he has been a	
student for years. I have recently received news of the State	
Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs that is	
under consideration by MDE. I looked over the proposed terms	
and have great concern for how this will affect all involved in	
teaching children with communication disabilities and how it will	
also affect the families looking for help for their children. I ask for	
a few moments of your time, so that I can express how much	
Dubard's services have meant to our family, as well as others that	
have been impacted in our state and across our country.	
Our son attended the Children's Center (also on USM campus) for	
about one year. The services were helpful, but they only offer part-	
time teaching. It was there that I first met with a Lamar County	
representative and set up the meeting for his IEP. The county	
encouraged us to seek services through Elementary pre-k	
(public school). We were very pleased with the help he received	
there, but it was clear he needed more defined attention that	
Dubard School can offer. Due to his cleft palate, he had had	
ongoing trouble with his ears. He is years old now and has had	
surgery to clos his lip, surgery to close the soft palate, bone graft	
surgical procedure to bridge the gap in his upper jaw, and five sets	
of tubes in his ears. He is a very hard worker, and he has made	
great strides over the years. However, he lost close to half of speech	
clarity after the bone graft surgery two years ago. It was	
discouraging to see him have to regain lost ground. The teachers	

Comment	MDE Response
and staff at Dubard have gone above and beyond to see that he has	
had every opportunity to work on speech and other areas where he	
struggles, and he is improving every day.	
I firmly believe that our local public school offer excellent	
educational opportunities, but also recognize that some students	
need more individualized care. The care Dubard provides does not	
cost our families. recently came home and told me about a	
friend at his school that is now thriving <u>but was born</u> without ears.	
We have a dear friend that moved from to	
Hattiesburg, so that her son could get help with his apraxia. Prior	
to that, they struggled more than I can express, because he could	
not communicate. I recently heard a lady say that her niece is now	
able to get help through Dubard. Her niece was years old before	
she could speak the words, "I love you, Mommy." As the lady told	
her story, she cried at the memory of hearing the little girl speak	
those words after getting the help she needed from Dubard.	
I imagine this letter would contain about ten pages, if I were to	
start collecting testimonies about how Dubard has helped students.	
Many of these families are struggling just to make ends meet.	
Implementing a tuition based mandate would be quite a strain and	
would prevent many families from receiving the help they need. To	
address the IEP proposed changes, it seems appropriate that the	
staff and teachers should remain an integral part of the process.	
Dubard works very well with the Lamar County representatives	
that I have met with each time. If I could ask anything, it would be that MDE would consider INCREASING funds and teachers for	
that MDE would consider INCREASING funds and teachers for Dubard School. Some drive one and a half hours (one way) per day,	
in order to receive services. For a child that cannot hear and a	
family who is desperate for help and communication services, it	
seems this is a critical service and a school that our state can-and	
should-be very proud of.	
should be very producti.	

Comment	MDE Response
– Parent of student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Dubard School of Language Disorders	needed.
I am the parent of a child who attends the Dubard School for	
Language Disorders. His name is and he is years old. He	
has been attending Dubard since he was years old. Since	
attending Dubard, has made great progress in the areas of	
language and speech, social emotional development and beyond. It	
has been nothing short of a miracle for my son to be able to attend	
the Dubard School, especially at no cost to us. To ensure that he	
receives his education in a format that is the most appropriate for	
his diagnosis of Mixed Expressive & Receptive Language Disorder,	
I commute three hours per day from the MS Gulf coast. I work part	
time because I do not have anyone else available to bring to	
and from school each day. I share this information with you	
because without the school offering a free education & fuel	
reimbursement to families like mine, many of our children would	
not be able to attend.	
To date, I have only positive things to say about the staff and	
administration at Dubard. Our transition from our school district	
to Dubard was seamless. I have witnessed the positive	
collaborations between Dubard & our school districts. I attend	
every IEP meeting and have been pleased with the levels of	
professional consultation between both parties. In particular, last	
year we moved to a new school in another district. At first I	
expected there to be some type of delay with my son's paperwork	
and receiving permission to continue to attend Dubard. This was	
especially concerning because of other delays associated with	
COVID-19. I was delighted to find out that the new school district	
was more than happy to work alongside Dubard in order to ensure	
the well-being of my child. My son's experiences at Dubard have been life altering for him.	
Already the trajectory of his life has shifted for a more positive	
outcome. It is my hope that he, along with his current and future	
outcome. It is my nope that he, along with his current and future	

Comment	MDE Response
peers, will continue to have the opportunity to attend their	
wonderful school free of charge.	
– Parent of student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Dubard School for Language Disorders	needed.
I am writing a letter to extend my deep concern about some of the	
changes that are being considered for the Dubard School. We have	
a year old daughter who <u>would</u> have been academically lost, if it	
were not for Dubard. Our started out in speech therapy at 18	
months. When she entered traditional school, more evaluations	
were obtained, and we were told that she needed OT and PT as	
well. We had her in all of her therapies with the expectation that	
that's all she needed. Fast forward a year to the end of	
kindergarten, we were told that they did not know what to do with	
her. There were concerns that she may never be able to achieve	
much. We were devastated. We had, a year earlier, put her on the	
waiting list for Dubard, but she was still not able to start. One more	
very long year in traditional school later, we got the call from	
Dubard. She was in. I still get teary-eyed thinking about that day.	
Dubard was it- her last chance. She has been at the school for 2	
years, and she is making gains that we never could have imagined.	
The change that Dubard has made for second is just shy of a	
miracle. We could not have gotten to where we are without them.	
would have had a very dim future without them. In addition	
to my very personal experience with Dubard, I have had life	
changing experiences with patients that I have sent to Dubard. I	
am a pediatrician. Just like us, many families have this struggle,	
and I am so happy that we have help for these children. We are one	
of the few places in the country with a place like Dubard. I know	
that they cannot do it without their funding so I pray that this does	
not get altered. Thank you for hearing this letter. I wish you well!	

Comment	MDE Response
– Parent of student	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
attending the DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed. Clarification will be provided through training and
The Petal School District has worked closely with the University of	technical assistance.
Southern Mississippi Children's Center for Communication	
Disorders and the DuBard School for Language Disorders for many	
years. We have worked collaboratively to ensure that students with	
significant communication and language disorders received quality	
services addressing these deficits in speech, language and	
communication. The University Based Programs have	
communicated frequently with the LEAs to share student progress	
and updates to facilitate a smooth transition for students as they	
return back to their regular school setting. Representatives from	
Petal School District have attended annual IEP meetings for	
students enrolled in the UBP to develop relationships with the	
parents and to stay up-to-date on the progress of our students.	
When the original policy was sent out to districts in November	
2020, we were not aware that this policy applied to us. All of our	
students were parentally placed, not LEA placed, therefore the	
students that we had attending these programs were not counted	
in the district's December 1 child count. Our district has now been	
notified that we will be responsible for sending the per pupil	
allocation to the UBP for the 2021-2022 school year, yet Petal	
School District will not receive the funding for these students for	
this school year. At this point, the Mississippi Department of	
Education has not determined what the per pupil allocation will be	
and districts are unable to calculate the total cost for these students	
to attend the UBPs. Districts need further clarification about how	
the funds will be funneled to the UBP and how those funds are to	
be recorded in MCAPS.	
The proposed policy also states that school districts and UBPs	
should have a collaborative agreement in place in order for	
students to be served through the UBP. All of the students' annual	
IEP meetings have been held for the 2021-2022 school year with	

Comment	MDE Response
recommended placement at the UBP. If a collaborative agreement is not in place prior to the start of the school year there is a concern about whether or not the students' services would be delayed until this has been completed. District representatives have participated in multiple meetings with MDE and the UBP and feel that there has been some great headway made in working through the proposed policy changes. At this time there are still some questions regarding the funding piece and the MOU/collaborative agreement that need to be clarified before finalizing the proposed policy. We ask that MDE continue to communicate with LEAs and UBP to specify the requirements of each entity as well as determine the cost to the district for placement of these students in the UBP. The UBPs provide valuable services to students that have significant speech, language, and communication disabilities and we look forward to continuing to work with them to provide necessary services to	
 students. DuBard School for Language Disorders Thank you for giving parents who have children that have attended the DuBard School for Language Disorders an opportunity to express our thoughts and concerns regarding the proposed policy changes by the Mississippi Department of Education (MDE). It is my understanding that MDE has proposed changes to the state policy and procedures on how the DuBard School collaborates with local school districts to meet the needs of students with speech, language and/or hearing disabilities. Of the proposed changes to the state policy and procedures, I would like to express my concern regarding the policy that would remove the DuBard School from having any placement decisions into the program and require a separate Individual Education Plan (IEP) for each child. I was fortunate enough to experience firsthand what the DuBard School could do for my child, and it was 	The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires that students with an IEP and enrolled in a public school district must be placed by his/her IEP Committee in any separate school setting. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
nothing short of amazing. To take a child who had significant	
speech and language disorders before attending DuBard and see	
the results that would not have been achieved while attending a	
public school speaks volumes to what this school can do. It is very	
concerning to me to think that a new policy would take away the	
ability from DuBard to make placement decisions and give that	
decision solely into the hands of the public-school district. I know	
that if my child had not attended this school for three years and	
only received the services of a public school, which is 30 minutes	
per day for a maximum of three days per week, she would still have	
the same speech and language disorders she had before entering	
DuBard.	
I ask that you please consider giving the DuBard School full	
authority to make placement decisions into their school and not let	
the local school district make these decisions. These school	
districts are unable to provide the quality services that only the	
DuBard School can provide to these children.	
Thank you again for the opportunity to express our thoughts	
regarding this matter. If you have any questions, please feel free to	
reach out to me. Thank you and have a great day.	
– Parent of student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
My son, Weight , has been attending DuBard School for Language	
Disorders for three years. Prior to that, he attended a	
County School District () preschool at Elementary. He has had an IEP with since he was three years old, he will	
turn years old in August. I also have another child in with an IEP that is now in high school.	
Prior to DuBard, was often frustrated at school (his	
teachers too). He was unable to communicate his thoughts and his	
social emotional skills lagged behind others his age. His	
teacher was concerned about his avoidance of crayons, coloring,	
and writing among other things.	

Comment	MDE Response
Now everyone is amazed at how much this once quiet little boy has	
to say. If he is not reading a book, he is drawing. Over Christmas,	
he even wrote and illustrated his own book. His teacher at DuBard	
quickly noticed his need for occupational therapy. DuBard paid for	
the therapy, and it took place at DuBard. He has the best	
handwriting of my three children. My other son did over two years	
of outpatient occupational therapy for dyspraxia. His handwriting	
is still difficult to read. Having the occupational therapy take place	
at school and the therapist being able to communicate with the	
teacher seemed to make a world of difference.	
While his social emotional skills are still behind, they have grown	
leaps and bounds. He will often now offer to breath with his	
siblings when they are upset (to their dismay). He can utilize the	
calm down area in his classroom successfully and independently	
now. His words are no longer trapped in his body (that is how a	
friend recently described him). None of this would be possible	
without the intensive daily speech therapy he receives through the	
DuBard method. Only having ten children in his classroom has	
been a blessing. A typical classroom with twenty or more would	
have overwhelmed him and resulted in him acting out or shutting	
down. The IEP planning/process is the easiest and most efficient I	
have participated in (I have participated in over a dozen).	
employees have expressed to me that they fully trust DuBard with	
the IEPs. Since DuBard School's classrooms and instruction is very	
specific to their student's disabilities, the details differ from what I	
have seen in my other child's IEPs and see a 's prior IEPs.	
adores all the staff at DuBard and to me it seems they feel the same	
about him. They accept and understand the special challenges	
these students have. At other schools, this was not always the case	
(eye rolling when the carline teachers realized it was him in	
carline).We are so fortunate that can attend this specialized	
school without us having to pay additional tuition. I highly doubt	
we (and many others) could have afforded it. Many in the	

Comment	MDE Response
community have expressed amazement that attendance is tuition free for the students and grateful their tax dollars are helping fund such a terrific place! This school is a gift to and our whole family (and future teachers). I still remember, his first speech pathologist telling me that talking/language may not be his primary means of communication. While he will still face struggles ahead, I am grateful that talking is no longer one of them. The DuBard School is an answer to our prayers. Second is overcoming obstacles that we once thought were insurmountable. I hope this gives you a glimpse at how special this school is and how much hope it gives to families that were hopeless and frustrated. I now look forward to how successful will be once he returns to support! DuBard has the ability to transform a student with challenges into a successful student that teachers embrace. Please feel free to reach out to me if needed.	
 Parent of student attending the DuBard School for Language Disorders My name is School. I am the mother of School would receive funding could possibly change. I would like to urge anyone that is responsible for making this decision to pause and evaluate the potential issues that might arise with this change. Altering the current flow of funding directly to Dubard School is concerning to me. We do not want to see any funds displaced or lost in the process of changing hands like it's musical chairs. I fail to understand why this change is necessary. We for one could not be happier with the way things are ran at Dubard. Over the last year, we have witnessed an unbelievable amount of progress in speech, maturity, and academics. School has been receiving therapy since age two. She is now. The quality of 	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.

MDE Response
No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
needed.

Comment	MDE Response
- Parent of student attending the DuBard	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
School for Language Disorders	needed.
My son, attended The Dubard School. They made	
him feel such a part of the family on USM campus that, to this day,	
he tells people he attended USM. This love for USM will, more than	
likely, keep him in the Mississippi university system. I cannot	
imagine wanting to go anywhere else when he completes high	
school.	
is in the grade now with all A's and just a couple of B's	
since he transitioned back to Oak Grove School District. He	
finished the Grade with straight A's for his last semester. The	
transition from Dubard back to normal school was virtually	
seamless due to the focus Dubard placed on and the	
counselors in OG Upper elementary. I cannot put a value on the	
improvements my son experienced with his time in Dubard. His	
frustrations were lifted, due to his understanding of working	
through difficult problems in the Dubard fashion. School suddenly	
became something that he enjoys. I credit Dubard for that!	
Most of all, I believe the success in Dubard is the number of	
teachers and aids in each classroom. The ability to give a student	
your full attention or an aid's full attention when they are	
struggling is absolutely a difference maker. Our transition back to	
larger classrooms would have been more difficult had we not	
worked through improved learning techniques in Dubard.	
I still get a text or note from Ms. Tara checking on a few times	
a year. The entire staff is definitely dedicated to the success of the	
students at The Dubard School. Dubard and Lamar county school	
district counselors and transition team were on a first name basis.	
Each of them knew what the other required for a smooth transition	
from Lamar County to Dubard. Without that working relationship	
with the County School system, I am not sure how this process would have been.	
would have been.	

Comment	MDE Response
Please advise the Department of Education to re-consider making any changes affecting how the Dubard School operates. This school has been a Godsend for us and our child and its educational value for our state has been proven over and over for years now. Requiring the school to rely on the school districts for funding and IEP implementations will most likely waste valuable time getting students the help they need. The school is already doing a fantastic job as is and we feel that making any changes will put this success in jeopardy. Again, please advise the board to re-consider and not take the risk of damaging this fantastic educational opportunity for children in our state.	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
 Parent of student attending the DuBard School for Language Disorders 	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
It has come to my attention that MDE has proposed changes to the Dubard School, As a concerned parent I would like to just take a moment and let you know how the Dubard school has changed my child's life a year old kindergarten student who was a student at Elementary struggling because she could not complete the verbal testing because her language disorders make it very hard for her. Until I got the call from Dubard, I was sure she would have to repeat kindergarten not because she did not know the information but, because she could not verbally respond to questions while being tested. As a parent can you imagine how frustrating that is for your child to be in a place where she is held back because she cannot get the help she needs? Is it fair to the child to take the one thing that is working for her away when it is working so well? The school district cannot accommodate her and her disability she had great teachers there that tried to help but, no resources in the school district accommodate a child that has a language disorder I even reached out to your office for help and got	

Comment	MDE Response
no answers or solution to assist her in her struggle to communicate. I could not even get more than a one word response from my child when asking how was her day at school. She started at Dubard the week after Thanksgiving break and in that short amount of time she has blossomed. I can ask her about her day and she goes on and on still not the perfect answer and she may miss words here or there trying to explain but it definitely is more than one word. You have to think about these kids and the benefits from this school and how it adds to their quality of life. I have a student in the Physical Therapist Assistant Program here and she was a student at Dubard she was around my child's age with language disorders and dyslexia she said that all she could do was make sounds and if it was not for this school she would never be where she is today. If it's not broke why fix it? In reference to: APA/Public Comment- State Board	
Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University Based Programs — Parent of student attending the Children's Center for Communication and Development I hope you are well. My name is Miss graduate and the father of — I am a Southern Miss graduate and the father of — He has hydrocephalus due to a grade three and a grade four brain bleed. We were told that he may not be able to move, talk, or see. He does all three. He has CVI but we are seeing tremendous improvement every week. He is a patient/student at the Children's Center. I am contacting you in hopes of persuading you to not make the proposed adjustment to funding and structuring for the program. Through First Steps: Early Intervention we are allowed to bring to the Children's Center for treatment. I fear that restructuring the program may cause us to lose access to this rehab. The relationships between and his therapists have been extremely beneficial to his progress here at the Children's	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
Center. We are amazed at the growth that has taken place since he	
has been a student. My wife and I benefit as much as does	
from the services here at the Children's Center. We feel capable	
and adequate once we are home working with him due to the	
thorough explanation and detail given by the therapist during his	
visits. While it may be as simple as a budget cut or adjustment for	
others, a change in what we have going on could be one of the	
single biggest influences in our child's future trajectory in life. The	
way the Children's Center is structured now is doing wonders for	
our child. Please, don't take this from us. Thank you for taking time	
to read my letter.	
Miranda Carter – Parent of student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Children's Center for Communication and Development	needed.
As a parent, you will always remember hearing your child say	
"mama" or "dada" for the first time. Sadly, for some parents, that	
isn't reality. As our baby missed milestone after milestone, no	
babbling, no words, no sounds we were puzzled. We felt defeated	
hearing private therapy would cost \$2,400/month.	
We cried, we prayed, and we submitted an application to the	
Children's Center for Communication and Development. I'll always	
remember the day we received the call that one , our year old	
son, had been admitted. to The Children'a Center. We rejoiced and	
praised God. We continue to thank God for the difference the	
Children's Center has made in our lives. There is no \$2,400 private	
insurance cost for speech and occupational therapy, as all therapy	
is FREE for families. The Children's Center offers families an	
opportunity to work hand-in-hand to train parents, as we couldn't	
support Hutson at home without the parent training we receive at	
the Children's Center. was given an AAC device to	
communicate his wants and needs. We could not provide this	
device for the second , as they cost thousands of dollars. However,	
because of the Children's Center our son has the ability to	
communicate with us using his AAC device. This has changed our	

Comment	MDE Response
family for the better. The Children's Center has blessed us in so	
many ways, as I heard my son verbally say "mama" for the first	
time and my husband hears "Daddy" through 's AAC	
device. The proposed changes would adversely affect children and	
families receiving services at the Children's Center. Our family	
would be directly affected by these proposed changes, our son	
would no longer have a means of communication and there would	
be no parent training to support our son outside of therapy. Please	
hear the voices of families receiving services at The Children's	
Center. Thank you for your consideration.	
– Parent of a student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Children's Center for Communication and Development	needed.
I'm writing to express my gra <u>titude for</u> the work the children's	
center has done with my son and I . My husband and I	
appreciate Donna (OT), Hannah (ST), and Lane (COTA) more than	
we can possibly express. They are the sole reason my son was able	
to walk and have been working towards our next goal which is	
speaking. His therapy team works together so flawlessly and	
supports not only his physical and mental development but us as	
parents. The pandemic brought us so many obstacles as new	
parents but our team was consistently with us through it all's	
therapy team was even there when my husband was away for five	
months with the National Guard on Covid orders. We've been able	
to receive equipment and critical advice that made a major	
difference in our sons abilities. Programs like this helps so many	
families and have the ability to change children's lives.	
– Parent of students attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Children's Center for Communication Disorder	needed.
After receiving an email from The Children's Center this morning, I	
have decided to reach out to you about the funding changes	
proposed. I have two boys who have been diagnosed with autism,	
and they have both received services through the Children's center.	
The services they offered, have been life changing for our whole	

MentMDE Responseour sons a tremendous amount, be better parents. They have
1 0
e our own children.
ble to help most of these
vide one on one lessons with
them require to learn. The state
enough services for any
e only schools that we do have
e ladies that work endlessly to
e heaven sent.
Js. They go above and beyond
tudent attending a No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
emendously. Especially being
ld with disabilities.
ent of student attending the No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
0
*

Comment	MDE Response
limited to the number of children they help at one time. They do	
such amazing work there. I wish that my child could be there until	
he graduates from high school. Parents of special needs children	
need DuBard School. A place where they know their child can learn	
and can grow. A place that works on each child needs at the level	
that "THAT: child needs. Without DuBard School, I really don't	
know where he would be at today. DuBard has done wonders for	
him. He will always be a "special needs child" but he grew so much,	
and learned so much at DuBard. We are so thankful, and forever	
greatful for all at DuBard School	
There is a great need for DuBard School. They help so many	
families, like mine.	
Steve Jones – Grandparent of student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
I am the grandfather to a year old girl enrolled at DuBard School	
of Language Disorders. Our family is beyond grateful that our	
state can offer a school which specializes in intense speech and	
language skills.	
I have been made aware that there is a proposal which could	
hinder the availability of funding, teachers and acceptance into the	
program they offer.	
The confirmation that you never know what mountains others face	
is so true. This school offers so much for those which have a	
disability in language to learn and improve. I want every person to	
know what having this opportunity has meant to our child and so	
many others. She has made vast improvements that probably	
could not have occurred in the regular classroom. I cannot stress	
enough how much value it has for the children to be in smaller	
classes for more individualized instruction.	
In our case, she has done speech therapy since it became apparent	
that she had a problem. DuBard has been a game changer for	
her. The program offered at DuBard is critical for many. Please	
help us help our children and vote against this proposal.	

Comment	MDE Response
- Grandparent of a student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
In reference to the above proposed policy changes concerning UBP,	
DuBard School for Language Disorders would be affected to the	
possible detriment of many young children who are receiving	
specialized education.	
I have a granddaughter currently enrolled as a full-time student. It	
is her first year to attend. Prior to being accepted for this program,	
from age 2 until age , she has been in speech therapy (as well as	
physical and occupational therapy). I can personally assure	
anyone that has not experienced having a child in need of help to	
learn to communicate coherently, having a short speech session	
once per week for 30-45 minutes is definitely not	
sufficient. DuBard's teaching method and staff has changed her	
life and subsequently, all of those around her.	
My concern is that the proposed cut in teacher units AND the	
decision for acceptance into such programs being given to the local	
and none-specialized person will in fact result in the loss of crucial	
components to give these children their opportunity to	
succeed. As a parent/grandparent, all we desire is for our	
children to be given the same chance as any other child regardless	
of their special needs. I feel that it would be practically impossible	
for this to occur in the regular classroom setting.	
Mississippi is a less populated and more rural state. Therefore, gaining access to specialized education is not readily available. We	
gladly drive 280 miles per day in order to take advantage of the	
opportunity for our granddaughter to be at DuBard School.	
Please consider voting against these changes and please continue	
to support the program at DuBard for the sake of these children.	
Thank you for your attention to this immediate concern.	
- Great Aunt of a student attending the	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
I am writing in response to a request from Chelsie Bradberry	

Comment	MDE Response
asking me to put in words how Dubard has helped my great	
nephew, The . I have been thinking about what to say for several	
days. It's difficult and emotional to put into words the remarkable	
journey I have watched take over the last several years.	
When he first started Dubard, I went with for for to do	
his evaluation. I was impressed from the moment I walked	
through the doors. We were able to watch as he did this process,	
and I'll be honest, I would have struggled to answer some of the	
questions he was being asked. I really wasn't sure if he needed to	
go to a "special" school, but the changes in him since he started are	
nothing short of amazing. It was difficult to always understand	
what he was saying, and this made him withdraw some. He was	
shy, and lacked in self-confidence. Today, he is the total opposite	
of this. He's no longer like a little turtle in a shell. There is no	
issue understanding anything he says at all, and he definitely has a	
lot to say now! He is no longer the little shy kid when we have	
family get togethers. He's the one in front, taking charge, and	
talking his head off with his cousins. Truly a blessing to watch.	
So for me, there just isn't enough I can say about Dubard. It has	
brought about positive change for that will be with him for	
the rest of his life. For this, thank you.	
– Grandparent of student attending	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
the DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
I am writing to you today as a plea for children with language	
disorders like my Grandson, Spencer Smith. Spencer began his	
journey at Dubard 3 years ago. When he started he was almost	
completely nonverbal, either jibberish or signing. A lot of melt	
downs as well as he became frustrated in not being able to	
communicate. I'll never forget the day he called me and plainly	
said, I love you MiMi and I miss you MiMi. The progress he has	
made is nothing short of miraculous. I cannot even express how	
great it is to be able to talk with him and understand what he is	
saying. I live in Florida so being apart is difficult at best. However	

Comment	MDE Response
now we can face time and get caught up. It's wonderful to see the	
friendships he has formed and the life I have hope for him to	
realize is now within his grasp if he continues at Dubard. His level	
of learning is beyond his grade and he is excelling in all subjects.	
His reading and spelling and math are amazing for a 10 year	
old! This school has done so much for our entire family. Please I	
am pleading with you, do not diminish this bright light Dubard has	
shown on these special students. These children are at your mercy	
and their future hangs in the balance. Our family will continue to	
fund raise as we have in the past and do whatever it takes to help	
Dubard continue the good work it started in these bright minds.	
A decision that allows Dubard to prevail must be made. Our	
children's future depends on it.	
– Family friend of a student	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
attending the DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
I am writing on behalf of the second se	
School. I have known since birth and have had the	
privilege of watching her grow up and develop. In the past year, she	
has made great strides in her communication abilities. We interact	
with regularly, and the expansion of her vocabulary has	
been noticeable and impressive. She is learning to engage in a	
conversation when communicating her needs, and word repetition	
in her conversation has significantly reduced. Her social	
interactions are more engaging, with a noticeable difference in how	
she plays and interacts with her peer group.	
I am writing in support of the DuBard School as I have seen	
firsthand the intense benefit for children with developmental	
needs. I would request a reconsideration of the proposed changes	
in State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs. As an alumna of the University of Southern Mississippi and a family	
friend of a current student, I hope that the DuBard School can	
continue to provide much-needed services to students with	
continue to provide inucli-needed services to students with	

Comment	MDE Response
significant speech, language, hearing and/or academic disorders in	
our community.	
Thank you for your time and consideration.	
– Family friend of a student	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
attending the DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
Over the past three years I have had the pleasure of working with a	
child that attends the Dubard school. I was her K4 teacher, and I	
also helped with her distant learning during the times the school	
was closed due to quarantine. The progress that this student has	
made during her time at the DuBard school has been	
remarkable. Unfortunately, the small town that we live in does not	
offer any type of academic assistance that compares to that of the DuBard school. As someone who has worked in the field of	
education for close to ten years in both the regular and special	
education for close to ten years in both the regular and special education setting, I can honestly say that the services offered by the	
DuBard school is far more than anything this student would	
receive in the local public school setting. The DuBard school is	
able to offer targeted instruction, as well as specific teaching	
strategies that are directed for each student as an individual. It	
would be an incredible disservice for the DuBard school to lose	
funding, that would result in changes to how they place students	
and/or operate the school. I know it is an answered prayer for	
those in areas without these types of facilities. The impact that the	
faculty and staff of the DuBard school has on its' students is	
immeasurable.	
– Hattiesburg Sertoma Club President,	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Emeritus and parent of former students of the DuBard	needed.
School for Language Disorders	
In respectfully requesting your rejection of proposal State Board	
Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs, I hope	
you will consider my explanation below on the legacy and success	
of the DuBard School and need to retain the current stewardship	
process.	

As Hattiesburg Sertoma Club President, I worked 10 years spearheading several fundraising activities to benefit the DuBard School and the School of Speech and Hearing at Southern Miss. During this time I participated in various functions and activities not only as our club president (now emeritus) but also in my current professional position as Associate Director at the University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why 1 joined the Hattiesburg Sectoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	Comment	MDE Response
spearheading several fundraising activities to benefit the DuBard School and the School of Speech and Hearing at Southern Miss. During this time I participated in various functions and activities not only as our club president (now emeritus) but also in my current professional position as Associate Director at the University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former tam writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
School and the School of Speech and Hearing at Southern Miss. During this time 1 participated in various functions and activities not only as our club president (now emeritus) but also in my current professional position as Associate Director at the University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be		
During this time I participated in various functions and activities not only as our club president (now emeritus) but also in my current professional position as Associate Director at the University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their education from the Successful. I have concerns that a to find using from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders. I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
not only as our club president (now emeritus) but also in my current professional position as Associate Director at the University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University- Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorderss I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	current professional position as Associate Director at the	
has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Descent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	University of Southern Mississippi, Physical Plant Division. In both	
change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels. The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	roles my continued experience has been that the DuBard School	
The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	has remained well managed and successful. I have concerns that a	
and USM Speech and Hearing began many years ago when both of my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	change to this legacy will be detrimental on several levels.	
my daughters were evaluated by USM. The professional testing and guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	The roots of my interest and connection with the DuBard School	
guidance my daughters received was instrumental in their educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
educational foundation. Success is measured in many ways. Our story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
story moved from those early informative years to both daughters graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to	0 2 0	
graduating from The University of Southern Mississippi, one in education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
education and the other in communication. I had to find a way to give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorderss I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
give back to the University, so this is why I joined the Hattiesburg Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
Sertoma Club over 20 years ago and continue to support the DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.		
DuBard School in every way. I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.		
I would welcome an opportunity to answer questions or further discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
discuss this subject in any way. My hope is that the DuBard School will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.		
will be rewarded in continuance of their successful stewardship and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. - Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
and that proposal State Board Policy 74, Rule 74.8, University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.		
University-Based Programs will be swiftly rejected. Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. — Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact me at your discretion. - Parent of former - Parent of former No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders needed. I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard needed. School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change		
your discretion. Parent of former student at the Dubard School for Language Disorders I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
- Parent of formerNo proposed changes to policy recommended. No changestudent at the Dubard School for Language DisordersNo proposed changes to policy recommended. No changeI am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubardneeded.School for Language Disorders. With the potential change toitem to potential change to		
student at the Dubard School for Language Disordersneeded.I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubardneeded.School for Language Disorders. With the potential change toneeded.		No proposed shanges to policy recommended. No shange
I am writing this letter as a recent, former parent of The Dubard School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		
School for Language Disorders. With the potential change to		liceueu.
	APA/Public Comment, State Board Policy 74, 74.8, University-	

Comment	MDE Response
Based Programs, I'd like to take a moment and share the life-	
changing experience my son, but , had while attending The	
Dubard School.	
When was three years old, he still could not speak. We were	
told by medical professionals that not only was he non-verbal, but	
that he would likely be dependent upon us (his parents) his whole	
life. My husband and I disagreed with this prognosis; we knew our	
son was bright but could not communicate his wants or needs at	
the time.	
A speech therapist recommended that we look into The Dubard	
School. We went through the application process, and set of 's name	
was placed on their waiting list. In the meantime, my husband was	
offered a fantastic job opportunity in Tupelo, MS. We moved to	
Tupelo, and a few months later, we were contacted by The Dubard	
School and began the admission process.	
We learned that was incredibly gifted throughout the	
application and testing process and that the gap was between his	
high IQ and his current language score at the time (a gap of 40+)	
points. was admitted into The Dubard School for the next	
academic school year.	
My husband and I felt so strongly about attending The	
Dubard School that I moved back to Hattiesburg with our three	
children, while my husband remained working in Tupelo during	
the week. We would happily repeat this sacrifice because the result	
of this school's curriculum, methods, and incredible faculty is unmatched.	
The IEP process between our local school district and The Dubard	
School was simple and supported. Local school districts appreciate	
The Dubard School because they offer such successful and	
extensive speech and academic services that cannot be provided in	
a traditional public school setting.	
	1

Comment	MDE Response
Our son is now entering second grade at our local school district in Tupelo. He received straight A's last year and is one of the most talkative and confident students at his school. The Dubard School gave the gift of language. They gave him confidence, and honestly, they gave him a whole new life—a life where he can easily communicate, learn, and be understood. The Dubard School's funding is an essential and integral part of their school's process. To change this in any way would be altering student's lives and their future. On behalf of my family and many other families, I ask that you please consider the motion to change the funding for University programs, specifically The Dubard School for Language Disorders. Thank you for your time.	
- Parent of a former student of the DuBard School for Language Disorders I would like to start my letter by stating that my kids have always attended MS public school, I attended and graduated from the MS public school system, and my sister and many friends are educators in the MS public school system. I have nothing but the utmost respect and appreciation for all you do and for each and every educator who gives their heart and soul daily to educate.	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.
I would like to introduce you to my child Constant . She began school at a primary school in MS and it was evident the very first day to the teacher that she would need help beyond the normal classroom instruction – she began the tier system immediately and by January she had an IEP. Wow! It was heartbreaking for a mom, but what outstanding work from the teacher.	
She attended primary for 3 years with an IEP and all the resources that were available. Hailey then repeated the 1 st grade. At the end of her 2 nd year of 1 st grade she was still not able to read! It was no fault of any of the educators – they tried every resource that was	

Comment	MDE Response
available. They exhausted all of their learning and training. Her learning disability was beyond what they could accommodate. As a parent I knew that she would never be successful and independent in life if she could NOT read!	
My sister, having been an educator for over 15 years (and now a Dr.) knew on day one that she would need more than could be provided and told me to get on the waiting list at Dubard.	
Dubard CHANGED 's LIFE FOREVER!	
I can never speak about Dubard without crying out of gratitude – this school is the reason I can now introduce you to my daughter who is a thriving high school student with A's & Bs (and sometimes Cs if she is being lazy). She does still have an IEP for certain accommodations such as test taking (she needs more time to process the information due to her language disorder). But she is an independent fluent reader who handles her own grades, does her own homework and is on track to graduate with a regular high school diploma.	
There is no way we would have been able to afford for to attend Dubard if her funds were not transferred from the school district. The school taught a specific method that allowed Hailey to learn with her language disorder; no other resource could have done this (all the others had already been tried). The school gladly and willingly helped in a smooth transition to Dubard and when we returned worked with Dubard to ensure that she was successful by collaborating with Dubard on her new IEP.	
It has been and will always be a team effort. Dubard and the public school had the same goal. They recognized the need that Dubard was filling and truly were thankful for it. They opened their arms back up to when she was ready to return! I know there are many cases like mine. We met many parents at Dubard who had	

Comment	MDE Response
stories just like ours and sometimes more extreme. From day one Dubard's intention was to get back to public school so she could go on to have a normal school career and flourish – and they did just that.	
These funds that went to Dubard truly changed the trajectory of my child's life. She has a chance at an independent life, she has a chance at a high school diploma, she has a chance to get a job, she has a chance to live on her own and she could do none of these things without the funds to attend Dubard.	
These funds and choices matter to these parents who are helpless to help their children. We are not educators and most of the time do not know how to help them. But the expertise found at Dubard (and places like this) change these kids' lives forever. We went from never being able to complete any assignment to sometimes out scoring her sister on tests – because of Dubard!	
We know the teachers in the public schools have a tough job, they are teaching kids on all levels with other things besides just learning disorders. I could not do their job and I appreciate and respect all they do. Dubard and places like this lend a hand to the teachers when their hands are full. Their job is to fill the need and work with the schools to transition these kids back into the public school.	
If you need any more information from me or would like to hear any more of my story I would be happy to speak with you.	
Thank you for taking time to hear this part of my story and for thoughtfully considering any changes you intend to make in the future regarding this resource. The decisions you make regarding this issue will have a profound impact on the lives of these students and their families – with choices like Dubard we all have hope!	

Comment	MDE Response
– Parent of former student at	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
the DuBard School for Language Disorders	needed.
On behalf of my wife, and my son, I hope this	
finds you well. I am writing in response to the Mississippi	
Department of Education's (MDE) alarming notice of their intent	
to revise (i.e. completely rewrite) funding policies for university-	
based programs (UBPs).	
From age 22 months to 4 1/2 years, my son received life-changing	
speech-language therapy at The Children's Center for	
Communication and Development at The University of Southern	
Mississippi and later received private after-school therapy from	
DuBard School for Language Disorders. I am blessed to share that,	
two weeks ago, graduated from Oak Grove High School	
with Honors. This fall, he will attend USM with 80% of his tuition	
covered by academic scholarships. Like many children who do not receive these services, he would have been on his way to becoming	
a ward of the state or homebound collecting some type of disability	
benefit/compensation from the government. In short, like them, he	
too would've become a tax burden on society.	
The proposed revisions bring about many fears and objections –	
too many to name here. However, I will speak to a couple that are	
most glaring to me.	
• Redirecting funds to school districts instead of	
simply forwarding straight to the UBP. I will be	
amazed if any of these LEAS (already struggling for money)	
will approve the release of funds, or rather, "pay" for UBP	
services.	
• An M.O.U., as stated in the proposed language, is	
now required for every single child to be released to	
the UBP. Why is the addition of an M.O.U. necessary when	
everything concerning the child's placement is detailed <i>ad</i>	
<i>nauseum</i> in their IEP? The IEP process alone is daunting –	

Comment	MDE Response
especially for the parent. I cannot imagine the additional stress and anxiety this would put on the parents, not to	-
mention what the additional workload will do to the	
education professional who are most assuredly already	
undermanned and overworked.	
For the sake of the parents who have yet to travel the road my wife and I traveled years ago, I implore you, MDE officials and board members to not complicate a process that works well and is not broken. These policy changes will prevent children from receiving the intensive services they cannot get from their LEA, and could likely close two programs that have changed the lives of thousands <i>for over 100 years combined</i> . That will be a highly visible stain on MDE and the Office of Special Education that will not be easily overlooked for years to come. Thank you for your time and consideration, and please know you have our deepest appreciation for the work you and your office are	
doing on behalf of children with special needs throughout the great state of Mississippi.	
	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
– Grandparents of former student of the Children's Center for Communication and Development	needed.
and the DuBard School for Language Disorders and	
former Professor of Audiology and Chair of the	
Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences at The	
University of Southern Mississippi	
We are writing to express our profound concern about the proposal	
to change the flow of state funding to the Dubard School for	
language Disorders and the Children's Center for Communication	
& Development, university-based programs (UBP's), also know as	
USM Statewide Schools # 1808. These programs, which combined	
have over 100 years of service, are beacons of hope to families of	
children with significant disabilities. Our experience with them is	

Comment	MDE Response
both personal and professional. We are grandparents of a young	
man who received services in both programs. As a result, he now is	
a accomplished, independent, and employed adult with a future	
that is very promising. Professionally, I (
Professor of Audiology and Chair of the Department of Speech and	
Hearing Sciences, the location of the programs being within The	
University of Southern Mississippi and know first-hand the	
effectiveness of the UBPs.	
The plan to route state funding through local school districts to the	
university-based programs, as indicated in a November 6, 2020,	
Mississippi Department of Education memo, will lead to the	
destructions of the programs and a loss of these resources to our	
most vulnerable children. Public school students have received	
services without cost to their local school districts or parents for	
decades. This proposed change of funding will, in effect, put the	
districts in a position to "pay tuition" for students to attend UBPs.	
Without a doubt, it will create undue administrative burdens and	
result in fewer children having access to the critical interventions	
on which their entire futures depend. We strongly encourage the	
Mississippi Department of Education to continue funding the	
UBPs in the manner which has worked so well for decades.	
– Parent of former student of The	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Children's Center for Communication and Development	needed.
I am writing today to tell you about my families experience at The	
Children's Center for Communication and Development in	
Hattiesburg. When my daughter, begin a , was born with a rare	
chromosome disorder, Jacobsen Syndrome, we were told by	
doctors that they were not sure when (if) she would walk or talk. I	
was a devastated and terrified first-time mother. The next Monday,	
I gathered myself and started researching and calling. I first found	
the First Steps program through the MS Dept. of Health. Then, I	
found the Children's Center and that call was life changing for my	

Comment	MDE Response
family. While I am thankful for programs like First Steps, nothing	
could compare to 's five years at TCC.	
I could go on and on about all she accomplished during those years	
at TCC but I would rather tell you about her now. just	
finished first grade at Elementary and she is thriving! She	
is learning to read and write, and she is keeping up with her	
classmates. We are incredibly fortunate to be in the County	
School District and I cannot say enough good things about the	
educators at OGLL. They have all poured into my daughter. But I	
truly believe her time at T <u>CC pr</u> epared her for where she is now.	
Not only did TCC prepare to start her public school journey,	
most importantly, they prepared me. With their help, I was	
educated, organized and ready to take on our first IEP meeting.	
The transition into "real" school was very, very tough on me (not at	
all for but but the wonderful staff of TCC held my hand every	
step of the way. They even followed throughout her first year	
at and helped her teachers with any concerns and	
modifications.	
We paid nothing for and 's years at TCC. Nothing. Our family is	
fortunate to have very good medical insurance but even our good	
plan limits therapy services. I is cut off from speech services	
and occupational therapy services at 20 visits. That works for us	
now as she also receives those services via her IEP at OGLL.	
However, I cannot imagine if she had not been able to receive those	
services year around during those very crucial preschool years. I	
have checked the out-of-pocket costs for those services and even as	
a middle-income family, we could not have paid for an additional	
30 weeks of therapy for Layla during those five years.	
Please do not limit or change the way The Children's Center	
operates. If you could visit and see the diversity of TCC families,	
you could really see how important this place is for so many	
developmentally delayed preschool children. Let TCC continue to	
serve the special needs families of South MS as they have for over	

Comment	MDE Response
45 years. I am confident, they will have them as prepared to start	
the MS Public School System as we were.	
- Parent of former student at The Children's	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Center for Communication and Development	needed.
I hope this email finds you well.	
As a lifelong resident of Petal, Mississippi, I wanted to reach out to	
you concerning changes MDE is proposing to implement at The	
Children's Center for Communication and Development in	
Hattiesburg, MS. It is my understanding that the proposed changes	
would place more decision making power in the hands of local	
school districts and expect tuition based attendance. Sharon, I urge	
you not to make these changes. Let me share how this would have	
been det <u>rimental</u> to my child 3 years ago.	
My son, where the set of and graduated from TCC (The	
<u>Children</u> 's Center for Communication and Development) in May.	
was diagnosed with Verbal Apraxia of Speech, which is a	
neurological disability that impacts the motor <u>planning</u> required	
for speech and other motor processes. When was 2 years	
old he wasn't reaching milestones for speech. We sought out every	
early intervention we could find. was evaluated by the	
state three times as he grew and each time he did not qualify for	
speech services or any therapies because he was advancing in	
milestones in other areas. They required that he have a 33% delay	
and he only tested for a 29% delay. When tested by our local school	
district, the number one school district in the state, we were again	
denied services. I implore you not to allow school districts to retain	
any control whatsoever over who attends the Children Center. If	
this measure had been in place when we applied, my son who has a	
neurological disability would have been denied services.	
But he wasn't denied services by TCC. The Children's Center	
accepted at almost 3 years old. He was completely unable	
to speak or communicate. At 4.5 years old, after intensive therapies	
from TCC, he was diagnosed with verbal apraxia, dyspraxia, and	

Comment	MDE Response
sensory processing disorder. He graduated the Children's Center in	
May with the hard earned ability to speak effectively without	
assistance, play with peers, and regulate his own sensory needs	
thereby completely changing the trajectory of his life. Should my	
son have been denied the opportunity to speak because of tuition	
cost? Should have been denied help, critical speech	
therapy and occupational therapy, because of our local school	
district? Unequivocally no.	
I would love to buy you coffee and talk further. May you never	
forget to consider the future and lives you impact with these heavy	
and important decisions you have been charged with making.	
– Parent of former student at The Children's	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Center for Communication and Development	needed.
It has come to my attention that possible changes are being	
considered for the way children are enrolled and funding flows to	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
The Children's Center. My understanding is the two main changes	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
would require 1) an agreement to be in place for every child	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
outlining the cost of tuition the parent or school district must pay	through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to
and then 2) require all ESY services and transportation support	reflect this change. Additional clarification will be provided
services to go thru the school district. I do not support the	through training and technical assistance.
involvement of the school district at all.	
My son started attending The Children's Center at two years old.	IDEA funds authorized under §300.705 can only be distributed
never babbled on time. He started First Steps at 12 months	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must
old and it was then recommended that he start at The Children's	meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the
Center. He attended for three wonderful years. The Children's	requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that
Center held our hand thru every step and routinely met with us to	provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
explain progress and areas we could work on. There is no other	conditions in §§ 300.201.
center in the area with therapists that are as dedicated. They were	The MDE is requiring a MOU or Collaborative agreement
willing to work with our family as a whole to make sure our child succeeded. The Children's Center zoomed with us during the	The MDE is requiring a MOU or Collaborative agreement between the LEA and the UBP to outline how state and federal
pandemic so was able to continue services. They also came	funds will be utilized to cover special education and related
into his K4 school this year to help supplement the education he	services at the university-based programs to ensure the student
was receiving. Any help his K4 teacher may have needed was	receives a FAPE. The collaborative agreement will delineate
was receiving. Any nerp ins K4 reacher may have needed was	receives a rai E. The conaborative agreement win defineate

Comment	MDE Response
readily available. She helped with his schedul <u>e at sch</u> ool and was	individualized services, responsibilities, and other costs related
always willing to meet with his teacher when would be	placement of students in the university-based programs.
struggling in an area in school. His <u>speech ther</u> apist from this year	
attended his IEP meeting in May at Elementary and	
was there to help make sure all of the proper accommodations	
were listed on his IEP and that there would be a smooth transition	
to his new speech teacher. To say we are sad that has now	
aged out of their services is <u>an und</u> erstatement. We will truly miss	
every therapist who taught and got him ready for	
kindergarten. They went above and beyond to advocate for	
and to make sure we are the best advocates for our child going	
forward.	
In regards to the new proposal: It has been my experience that the	
school district is already overwhelmed with the needs of children	
age K4 and older and anyone below that age gets lost in the mix. I	
am very educated and I still had trouble navigating how to find the	
proper program for my child. It is not straight forward to find	
services for your child once you realize there is a delay. I had to	
repeatedly call the pediatrician, the health department, and the	
school district to figure out what services were available for my son	
and who I needed to contact. Every time I spoke to someone they	
would direct me to another department. I can't imagine how	
parents navigate this system that have fewer resources than I do.	
The fact that The Children's Center has autonomy from the school	
district is a great thing. It gives them more time to work with the families. Families who cannot afford to drive their children to the	
center are promptly reimbursed for their gas expenses. In addition,	
our school district was repeatedly invited to size in a size in the second back of the se	
and never once attended until he was entering K5 and had to schedule it for their own campus. It is no fault of the school	
district. They are dealing with children that are on their own	
campuses. It is not practical to involve the school districts in every	
decision for children who are too young to attend school. In	
uccision for children who are too young to attenu school. In	

Comment	MDE Response
addition, the beauty of The Children's Center is that every child	•
and parent is treated the same. Involving different school districts	
will allow a child to be treated differently based off of what district	
the child lives in. Please think long and hard before making this	
decision. It sounds like a good idea in theory but the paperwork	
and practicality of it will make it harder for children to receive	
services.	
Thank you for taking the time to read my thoughts.	
– Former student of The DuBard	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
School for Language Disorders	needed.
The Dubard School is so important. It has benefited me personally	
in so many ways. First of all, my father attended the Dubard School	
when he was a child for his Dyslexia. He is now a CWI at his	
current job working construction. Dyslexia unfortunately is	
genetic. So, I have it as well. I couldn't talk, had no personality,	
nothing came easy for me when it had come to learning. My	
parents put me in the Dubard school at the early age of 2, almost 3.	
I went for 6 years before i graduated. When I went to public school	
at McLain Attendance Center, fortunately I had a small class of 14	
students in my grade, so I continued to get 1 on 1 learning when I	
needed it. I was in Beta Club making A's and B's throughout the	
rest of my public schooling. In High school i was accepted into	
Advanced classes and graduated number 9 in my class! I am now a	
Respiratory Therapist and am in School for Physical Therapist	
Assistant. With that being said, without the Dubard school, I would	
have been in special ed classes in public school, being pushed from	
one grade to the next. I wouldn't be where I am in life today	
without it. They taught me a way to learn despite my dislexia,	
taught me perseverence, to never give up on myself, and boosted	
my self confidence to know that I can do/learn anything I put my	
mind to. I am so thankful for the Dubard school and it holds a big	
meaning to my life. Please continue to fund this incredible school.	

Comment	MDE Response
After months of extensive research, I cannot locate any rationale	
for the revision changes MDE proposes re: State Board Policy 74,	
Rule 74.8, as to UBP's. The current proposed changes from MDE	
are not consistent with the spirit of the law passed years ago, and,	
specifically the <i>wording</i> of the MOU requirement would ultimately	
eliminate these UBP that currently and for at least 3 decades have	
provided least restrictive environments for a specific segment of	
the disability population.	
Although there appears to be no harm in having a written	
agreement (MOU) between the LEA and the UBP as they agree	
upon services to the children, however, this additional requirement	
appears to be redundant to the IEP process. If MOUs are now	
being required by some entity, I'd like to understand the law or	
policy that requires such change.	
Further, my most pressing request is that if MOUs are now a	
requirement, that MDE will continue to allow the monies per child	
to flow directly from the state to the UPBs which has been	
successful for over 25 years. Redirecting funds as proposed to the	
school districts rather than to the UBPs will be cumbersome, add	
layers of bureaucracy, require administrative management, and	
will ultimately delay or even prevent service provision for students	
with disabilities.	
Thank you for your consideration of this request.	
Judy and Denny Hankins	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
We would ask the department to leave funding of the program as	needed.
is. Enclosed is a list of why we feel funding should remain the	
same.	Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) Special
University-based programs provide services at no cost to school	Education teacher units and Transportation funds will continue
districts or parents	to flow directly to University-Based Programs for students birth
The mechanisms for placing children from over 30 school districts	through twenty-one. The revised policy has been updated to
annually has worked well, both legally and financially, for decades.	reflect this change. Additional clarification will be provided
Routing state funds through local school districts to the university-	through training and technical assistance.
based programs instead of directly from the state to the UBPs will	

MDE Response
IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must
meet the State's definition of LEA and must meet the
requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that
provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.
Policy updated to address this concern.

Comment	MDE Response
 Will the Dubard School be intimately involved with evaluating potential students with the local school districts or be forced to sit on the side lines? Placing another layer of bureaucracy in the distribution of funds will increase the cost of any program going forward, hence less funds for the Dubard School. 	
Therefore, as a Mississippi Tax Payer and Benefactor of the Dubard School, I believe the redirection of funds away from UBPs to local school districts to be a terrible idea. In no way am I able to agree with such a decision. I trust the MDE will reconsider its decision on this issue.	
Joe Kinnan, Ph.D. and Sandy Kinnan, MSN, RN, FPMH- NP (Ret.) It has come to our attention that MDE is considering the most appropriate means of funding the services provided by the USM DuBard School for Language Disorders. We urge you to continue supporting the DuBard School with funds flowing directly from the State of Mississippi, rather than distributing them through the local school districts. The present system of funding ensures that the school's superior services reach the optimum number of students with language disorders in our state. If the funding is allowed to flow through the local schools, there is a strong possibility that the special needs students' learning will diminish due to inconsistent instructional programs and a lack of highly skilled teachers of the proven DuBard Method. We urge you and your staff to continue to fund the DuBard School and other university-based centers of excellence directly from the state. We are well acquainted with the amazing success of the DuBard program as we have witnessed the school's students and graduates excel for many years.	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change needed.

Comment	MDE Response
Thomas Lewis	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
	needed.
I am writing to express my opinion regarding a MDE memo from	
Robin Lemonis, State Director of Special Education, dated	IDEA funds authorized under § 300.705 can only be distributed
November 6, 2020, specifically State Board of Education Policy 74, Rule 74.8 which changes the working relationship between local	to an eligible LEA. In order to be an eligible LEA, an agency must meet the State's definition of LEA <i>and</i> must meet the
school districts and University-Based Programs (UBPs). To be	requirements under § 300.200, including submitting a plan that
blunt, that memo which was issued without consultation and	provides assurances to the SEA that the LEA meets each of the
which to this day has not been retracted jeopardizes the very	conditions in §§ 300.201 through 300.213.
existence of university based programs such as the DuBard School	
for Language Disorders (DuBard) and The Children's Center	
(TCC), both at the University of Southern Mississippi.	
The referenced mile twice to first a greater that ign't harden . The tage	
The referenced rule <u>tries to fix a system that isn't broken</u> . The two programs at USM have an excellent working relationship with	
around 39 school districts statewide, and provide critical services	
which are unavailable from local school districts. The proposed	
Rule 74.8 has already disrupted those relationship and threatened	
the services available to hundreds of children. Miracles happen at	
TCC and DuBard, but they only happen if local districts place	
children there.	
Rule 74.8 proposes to so complicate the placement of children at	
DuBard and TCC that local districts likely will not even attempt to	
do so. Such an outcome would be a tragedy for those children and	
our state. I personally know several adults who lead productive	
lives who credit DuBard with making their success possible. One is	
a spokesman for a nationally recognized local industry leader who	
as a child had severe speech problems. Today he speaks before hundreds of people at a time and credits DuBard for his	
professional life. Another is a successful CPA who was given the	
gift of language at DuBard. Who knows what his life would have	
been like without the services he received (which weren't available	

Comment	MDE Response
from his local school district). He certainly would not be the successful professional that he is today. Neither of these men would have reached their full potential in life were it not for the DuBard School. Their stories are but two of hundreds. Does the MDE really want to have a segment of population as government dependents rather than functioning, productive members of society. If Rule 74.8 is not rescinded, that is exactly what you are advocating.	
The two USM programs are by definition public schools which augment the services available from local school districts. They provide specialized services to children from 39 school districts statewide and have been doing so for about 50 years. The funding policies have been working for the school districts and the UBPs for thirty years, yet here comes a bureaucrat who knows little of the history of these programs and with the stroke of a pen changes the funding mechanism without any concern for the history or the working relationships between the UBPs and local school districts. That memo of November 6, 2020 is still in effect to this day and has sown confusion among parents, school districts, and the UBPs. The fate of hundreds of children who depend on the USM UBPs for vital services which are not available from their local school districts is at risk.	
I thought that the function of the MDE was to facilitate the education of all of Mississippi's children, but Rule 74.8 flies in the face of that. The Department of Education needs to quit trying to fix what is not broken (UBPs) and focus attention on the very real problems in our state's educational system. We are consistently 50 th among the states in most categories, but families actually move from other parts of the country to have their children placed at DuBard. How dare you threaten the existence of a shining star of hope for children with language disorders. You have caused	

Comment	MDE Response
consternation among parents, local districts, and the UBPs. You	•
have threatened to take away a vital lifeline from children who	
struggle. You have caused large expenditures of time and money	
on the part of the UBPs to oppose this asinine rule.	
Please reverse this rule and let the UBPs spend their time and	
money doing what they do so well, giving children the gift of	
language, rather than dealing with unnecessary, asinine	
bureaucratic bungling.	
Melinda Koerber	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
I am writing to you in reference to APA/Public Comment-State	needed.
Board Policy 74 Rule 74.8 University-Based Programs. It is	
evident that enacting this policy would be detrimental to the school	
districts and students that the UBP serve. This also would impact	
the university students that benefit from an excellent opportunity	
to train in these university settings.	
Please leave the established funding channel in place.	
Scott Berry – Head Baseball Coach, The University of	No proposed changes to policy recommended. No change
Southern Mississippi	needed.
I am uniting to you on babalf of the shildren families and staff of	
I am writing to you on behalf of the children, families, and staff of the Dubard School for Language Disorders and The Children's	
Center for Communication and Development (USM Statewide	
Schools #1808). These University-Based Programs are a direct	
lifeline to many children and families with significant speech	
language, hearing and/or academic disorders in our community. I	
sincerely ask that you do not change the funding for our university-	
based programs. Rerouting the funding through public schools will	
only hinder and create more obstacles for these precious children	
and their families. As educators, we know there is a crucial window	
in development and often, if not seized upon, that window will	
close. By rerouting monies, you are essentially closing the	
opportunities to many children and their families. As the baseball	

Comment	MDE Response
coach and employee at USM for the past 21 years, I have seen	
firsthand how these services have benefitted many children and	
given much- needed hope to their families. These families do not	
have to worry about cost. They do not have to go through	
numerous hoops to provide help for their child. As a parent,	
knowing a potential hardship is met with a direct solution is	
invaluable. We all want happy, thriving children. We know we will	
all face challenges; however, "rerouting," "recreating the wheel,"	
"burdening public schools with more to do," "lacking clarity," only	
creates confusion and keeps us from fulfilling our primary mission	
to serve and help. Please continue to directly fund the DuBard	
School for Language Disorders and The Children's Center for	
Communication and Development. Change occurs when something	
is broken or needs improvement. The funding is not broken, and	
our University-Based Programs continue to improve the lives of	
children and their families every single day. Direct funding is the	
lifeline for these tremendous resources.	

Chapter 74: Special Education

Rule 74.8 University Based Programs. The University-Based Program is authorized in Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-23-31 through 37-23-35. Any state supported university or college may apply for minimum program funds under these regulations.

- 1. Procedures for Enrollment in a University-Based Program (UBP)
 - a. Students with disabilities may be enrolled in a UBP in the following two situations:
 - i. the student is not enrolled in a Local Educational Agency (LEA) and is parentally placed by their parent(s) in the UBP

OR

- ii. the LEA Individualized Education Program (IEP) committee initiates placement in a UBP because the IEP committee in that district has determined that the UBP is that student's Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) for the purposes of providing that student with a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), the IEP committee shall include UBP personnel. Yearly placement decisions relative to whether a student with disabilities is to be placed in a UBP by an LEA continues to be the responsibility of LEA's IEP committee.
- b. When the UBP staff is requested by a parent to parentally place a student, the UPB will provide clear notice to the parent that the participating student has no individual entitlement to a FAPE from their home school district, including special education and related services for as long as the student is privately enrolled.
- c. When a student with disabilities is placed by the IEP Committee in a UBP, the UBP shall enter into a collaborative agreement with the LEA that will require state and federal funds already designated for the student placed to be utilized to cover the costs of special education and related services at the UBP to ensure that the student receives a FAPE. UBP students enrolled in a local school district will generate Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) base student cost funds in the same manner as all other students. State funds provided through the MAEP Special Education Teacher Units and MAEP transportation funding shall not be included in the collaborative agreement. MAEP Special Education Teacher Units and MAEP transportation funding shall flow through to the UBP directly. Additionally, the collaborative agreement will delineate individualized services, responsibilities, and other costs related to placement of students in the UBP. The collaborative agreement will be a template provided by the LEA in collaboration with the UBP and their responsibility for IEP implementation.
- d. The LEA shall remain the district of enrollment for students placed in the UBP by the IEP Committee, or through due process, state complaint process, or binding mediation. The student shall be included in the LEA's December 1 Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for ensuring the student receives a FAPE. The UBP

will count all students enrolled in their program on the UBP December 1 child count for the purposes of funding the MAEP Special Education Teacher Units.

- e. The LEA shall be responsible for ensuring that the IEP of each student placed at a UBP includes transportation as a related service. MAEP transportation funds for each student enrolled in a UBP will flow through directly to the UBP regardless of how the student was placed.
- f. The LEA and the UBP must ensure that there is no delay in implementing the student's IEP, including any case in which the payment source for providing or paying for special education and related services to the student is being determined.
- 2. Teacher Units Approved for UBP

Children counted for the allocation and approval of a university-based teacher unit(s) shall meet the following criteria:

- 1. Documentation verifying birth date and age from birth through twenty-one (21) years,
- 2. Documentation confirming residency in the State of Mississippi,
- 3. Documentation of current eligibility by Early Intervention and/or LEA,
- 4. Documentation of current IEP or Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP),
- 5. Documentation of placement by a local school district IEP committee OR documentation of private parental placement, and
- 6. Have not finished or graduated from high school.

Documentation of numbers 1-6 above shall be maintained on file for each child served by an approved state funded teacher and be available upon request by the MDE.

The UBP shall submit annual child count data in accordance with the procedures of the Office of Special Education. An assurance from the UBP that data for each child served has been verified and is maintained on file shall be forwarded to the MDE when the teacher unit is requested for approval.

The administrator of the UBP shall submit Teacher Allocation data in accordance with the teacher unit reimbursement request process required by the Office of Special Education. Teacher units shall be allocated based on the teacher certificate requirements of special schools under the Office of Accreditation and each teacher being responsible for the educational instruction of a minimum of fourteen (14) children and maintaining a teacher schedule of 330 instructional minutes daily. Any request for a teacher to serve less than fourteen (14) shall be made in writing to the Office of Special Education and shall include the reason for the request. Request for teachers to serve less than fourteen (14) shall be approved based on the schedule of the teacher and instructional needs of the children. All exemptions of the minimum number of children served by a teacher shall be reviewed and approved by the Deputy State Superintendent, Chief Academic Officer. If a UBP teacher is providing instructional services on a part-time basis (165 instructional minutes daily) based

on the complex needs of individual students, then the UBP may request and be awarded one half of a special education teacher unit.

The administrative head of the facility is responsible for ensuring a teacher approved for a teacher unit shall be paid based on the salary scale, years of teaching experience, and salary schedule requirements found in Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-19-7, 37-19-9, and 37-19-10.

Teacher units shall be allocated and approved for the regular school session. The number of days the facility will provide a regular school session shall be in accordance with the MDE's regulations and Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-151-7(3)(c), 37-3-49, 37-13-61 through 69, 37-151-5(j), and 37-151-7(3)(c). The calendar dates of the beginning and ending of the regular school session shall be submitted to the Office of Special Education when requesting an allocation for a teacher unit.

3. Application Steps for UBP Proposal

The outline for the proposal which is to be submitted to the Office of Special Education is as follows:

- a. Title of the Program
- b. General Information
 - i. Number, age, and IDEA or Early Intervention eligibility of students
 - ii. Length of School Day (must be full day program to receive full funding)
 - iii. Number of teachers requested, teacher's name (if available), and certification (if available)
 - iv. Location and description of the classroom(s) or educational environment
- c. A list of program objectives
- d. An outline of program evaluation criteria
- e. A copy of the university/college approved policies and procedures as required
- f. An assurance that the university/college will comply with all applicable State Department of Education regulations relating to programs for students with disabilities. It is the responsibility of personnel who operate this program to be familiar with all regulations.
- 4. Upon receipt of this proposal, Office of Special Education personnel shall review it and either approve it or provide feedback to university/college personnel and allow for re-submission. The Bureau Director shall provide written notification indicating approval of acceptable proposals and indicating that university/college personnel may proceed with implementation. If teacher certification and number of eligible children is not available when the proposal is submitted, the MDE shall give tentative approval until such time as this information is received. Proposals shall be submitted for renewal every 3 years or any time there are substantial changes to the program.

Source: Miss. Code Ann.§§ 37-1-3, 37-23-31, 37-23-33, 37-23-35, and 37-151-85 (Revised 7/2021).

Chapter 74: Special Education

Rule 74.8 University Based Programs. The University-Based Program is authorized in Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-23-31 through 37-23-35. Any state supported university or college may apply for minimum program funds under these regulations.

- 1. Procedures for Enrollment in a University-Based Program (UBP)
 - a. Students with disabilities may be enrolled in a university-based program UBP in the following two situations:
 - i. the student is not enrolled in a Local Educational Agency (LEA) and is parentally placed is on a private tuition basis by their parent(s) in the UBP OR
 - if a the Local Educational Agency LEA Individualized Education Program (IEP) committee initiates a-placement in a UBP because an the Individualized Education Program IEP committee in that district has determined that the university-based program UBP is that student's Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) for the purposes of providing that student with a Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), and the IEP committee shall include UBP personnel. places the student in the university-based program. Yearly placement decisions relative to whether a student with disabilities is to be placed in a university-based program UBP by an LEA continues to be the responsibility of LEA's IEP committee.
 - b. When the university-based program UBP staff is requested by a parent to enroll parentally place a student on a private tuition basis, the university-based program UPB will provide clear notice to the parent that the participating student has no individual entitlement to a free and appropriate education (FAPE) from their home school district, including special education and related services for as long as the student is privately enrolled.
 - c. When a student with disabilities is placed by the IEP Committee in a universitybased program UBP, the university based program UBP shall enter into a collaborative agreement with the LEA that will require state and federal funds already designated for the student placed to be utilized to the cover the costs of tuition special education and related services at the university-based program UBP along with special education and related services to ensure that the student receives a FAPE. UBP students enrolled in a local school district will generate Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) base student cost funds in the same manner as all other students. State funds provided through the MAEP Special Education Teacher Units and MAEP transportation funding shall not be included in the collaborative agreement. MAEP Special Education Teacher Units and MAEP transportation funding shall flow through to the UBP directly. Additionally, the collaborative agreement will delineate individualized services, responsibilities, and other costs related to placement of students in the <u>university-based program</u> UBP.

The collaborative agreement will be a template provided by the Mississippi Department of Education (MDE) and shall reference the IEP developed by the LEA in collaboration with the university-based program UBP and their responsibility for IEP implementation.

- d. The LEA shall remain the district of enrollment for students placed in the universitybased program UBP by the IEP Committee, or through due process, state complaint process, or binding mediation. The student shall be included in the LEA's December 1 Child Count, and the LEA shall be responsible for ensuring the student receives a FAPE. The UBP will count all students enrolled in their program on the UBP December 1 child count for the purposes of funding the MAEP Special Education Teacher Units.
- e. The LEA shall convene an IEP Committee meeting that would include representatives and staff from the university-based program who would be responsible for providing the services in order to change the student's LRE to the university-based program, develop a transition plan for the student to the universitybased program, as well as modify the student's services accordingly based on the new placement. Once the student is transitioned to the university-based program, the IEP Committee must include an Agency Representative from the LEA that will be involved in committee meetings to ensure that the student receives a FAPE at the new placement. The IEP developed for the student shall be reasonably calculated to enable the student to make progress appropriate in light of the student's circumstances.
- f. The LEA shall continue to be responsible for ensuring that the IEP of each student placed at a UBP includes transportation as a related service. providing transportation for a student placed in a university-based program through the use of transportation funds from the Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP). MAEP transportation funds for each student enrolled in a UBP will flow through directly to the UBP regardless of how the student was placed. Additionally, university-based programs will be eligible to receive MAEP transportation funds for a student that is parentally-placed on a private tuition basis.
- g. The LRE LEA and the university based program UBP must ensure that there is no delay in implementing the student's IEP, including any case in which the payment source for providing or paying for special education and related services to the student is being determined.
- 2. Teacher Units Approved for University-Based Program UBP

Children counted for the allocation and approval of a university-based teacher unit(s) shall meet the following criteria:

- 1. Documentation verifying birth date and age of three (3) from birth through twentyone (21) years,
- 2. Documentation confirming residency in the State of Mississippi,

- 3. Documentation of current eligibility by Early Intervention and/or LEA,
- 4. Documentation of current IEP or Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP),
- 5. Documentation of placement by a local school district IEP committee OR documentation of private parental placement, and
- 6. Have not finished or graduated from high school,

Documentation of numbers 1-6 above shall be maintained on file for each child served by an approved state funded teacher and be available upon request by the Mississippi Department of Education (MDE).

The university-based program UBP shall submit annual child count data in accordance with the procedures of the Office of Special Education. An assurance from the university-based program UBP that data for each child served has been verified and is maintained on file shall be forwarded to the MDE when the teacher unit is requested for approval.

The administrator of the university-based program UBP shall submit Teacher Allocation data in accordance with the teacher unit reimbursement request process required by the Office of Special Education. Teacher units shall be allocated based on the teacher certificate requirements of special schools under the Office of Accreditation and each teacher being responsible for the educational instruction of a minimum of ten fourteen (1014) children and maintaining a teacher schedule of 330 instructional minutes daily. The Maximum number of children served per teacher is fourteen (14). Any request for a teacher to serve less fewer than ten fourteen (1014) or more than fourteen (14) children shall be made in writing to the Office of Special Education and shall include the reason for the request. Request for teachers to serve less than fourteen (14) shall be Aapprovaled shall be based on the schedule of the teacher and instructional needs of the children. All exemptions of the minimum and maximum number of children served by a teacher shall be reviewed and approved by the Deputy State Superintendent, Chief Academic Officer. If a UBP teacher is providing instructional services on a part-time basis (165 instructional minutes daily) based on the complex needs of individual students, then the UBP may request and be awarded one half of a special education teacher unit.

The administrative head of the facility is responsible for ensuring a teacher approved for a teacher unit shall be paid based on the salary scale, years of teaching experience, and salary schedule requirements found in Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-19-7, 37-19-9, and 37-19-17-37-19-10.

Teacher units shall be allocated and approved for the regular school session. The number of days the facility will provide a regular school session shall be in accordance with the MDE's regulations and Miss. Code Ann. §§ 37-151-7(3)(c), Miss. Code Ann. § 37-3-49, §§ 37-13-61 through 69, §-37-151-5(j), and §-37-151-7(3)(c). The calendar dates of the beginning and ending of the regular school session shall be submitted to the Office of Special Education when requesting an allocation for a teacher unit.

3. Application Steps for University-Based Program UBP Proposal

The outline for the proposal which is to be submitted to the Office of Special Education is

as follows:

- a. Title of the Program
- b. General Information
 - i. Number, age, and IDEA or Early Intervention eligibility of students
 - ii. Length of School Day (must be full day program to receive full funding)
 - iii. Number of teachers requested, teacher's name (if available), and certification (if available)
 - iv. Location and description of the classroom(s) or educational environment
- c. A list of program objectives
- d. An outline of program evaluation criteria
- e. A copy of the university/college approved policies and procedures as required
- f. An assurance that the university/college will comply with all applicable State Department of Education regulations relating to programs for students with disabilities. It is the responsibility of personnel who operate this program to be familiar with all regulations.
- 4. Upon receipt of this proposal, Office of Special Education personnel shall review it and either approve it or provide feedback to university/college personnel and allow for re-submission. The Bureau Director shall provide written notification indicating approval of acceptable proposals and indicating that university/college personnel may proceed with implementation. If teacher certification and number of eligible children is not available when the proposal is submitted, the MDE shall give tentative approval until such time as this information is received. Proposals shall be submitted for renewal every 3 years or any time there are substantial changes to the program.

Source: Miss. Code Ann.§§ 37-1-3, Miss. Code Ann.§ 37-23-31, Miss. Code Ann.§ 37-23-33, Miss. Code Ann.§ 37-23-35, and Miss. Code Ann.§37-151-85 (Revised 8/1991; 7/2021).