OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL ENHANCEMENT AND INTERNAL OPERATIONS Summary of State Board of Education Agenda Items April 17-18, 2014

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION

Mississippi Schools for the Blind and Deaf

14. Approval to renew the contract with MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C. for Fiscal Year 2015 to provide food service on the campus of Mississippi Schools for the Blind and Deaf

Awarded Vendor: MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C.

Flowood, Mississippi

<u>Scope of Project</u>: MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C. will provide food services for students at the Mississippi Schools for the Blind and Deaf for the school year 2014 - 2015. The contractor will provide services for the fifth year of a five-year procurement.

Personnel associated with this contract are not former Department employees or related to any Department employees.

Scope of Contract:

• Term of Contract Renewal: August 1, 2010 – June 30, 2015

Cost of Current Contract: \$1,555,577

Renewal Increase: \$ 399,903

Total Cost of Contract Renewal: \$1,955,480

Method of Award: Request for Proposals

Funding Source: State and Federal funds

Recommendation: Approval

Back-up material attached

MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Special Education MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C.

Contract History

| Year | FY | Initial Projected Contract Cost | Actual Contract Cost (including modifications) | |
|-------|------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | FY11 | \$379,614 | \$379,614 | |
| 2 | FY12 | \$379,614 | \$376,725 | |
| 3 | FY13 | \$379,614 | \$399,333 | |
| 4 | FY14 | \$379,614 | \$399,905 | |
| 5 | FY15 | \$379,614 | \$399,903 | |
| TOTAL | | \$1,898,070 | \$1,955,480 | |

Costs increased allowed in accordance with the free breakfast, free lunch and free afterschool snack rates for the National School Lunch and Breakfast Programs.

Office of Special Education Personal and Professional Contracts Annual Evaluation Form

| Name of Contractor: MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|---|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Name Of Person | Title of Person | | | | | | | | | | |
| Completing Evaluation: Tahnya Tremon | Completing Evaluation: Director of Combined Services | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: April 7, 2014 | Fiscal Year of | | Travel: \$0 | | | | | | | | |
| | Contract: FY 2014 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Contractual Days: 365 | Rate: Per RFP | | Total Amount | | | | | | | | |
| | of | | of Contract: \$399,333.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Scope of Work: MMI Dining Systems, L.L.C. provides food service at the Mississippi Schools for the Blind and the Deaf | | | | | | | | | | | |
| for the school year 2013 – 2014. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rating | | | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | |
| Were contract deliverables returned within timelines established? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a. Monitoring Reports, Activity Reports, Technical Assistance Reports, PowerPoints, | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| Mediation Agreements, and/or other required deliverables | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b. Were deliverables timely (EX: 6/8 were timely (75%) and 2/8 were delayed (25%) | | | | | | | Χ | | | | |
| c. Were deliverables acceptable within specified timeline? | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| d. Timesheets and/or invoices | | | | | | Х | | | | | |
| e. Travel | | | | | | | N/A | | | | |
| Was the contractor compliant with contractual terms? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a. Professionalism | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| b. Ethical Behavior | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| c. Confidentiality | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| d. Other | | | | | | | N/A | | | | |
| 3. Accountability | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is productive and delivers appropriate amount of service to district. | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| Focuses on quality and expends the necessary time and effort to help district(s) achieve the established goals. | | | | | | Х | | | | | |

Office of Special Education Personal and Professional Contracts Annual Evaluation Form

Ratings:

- 4 Exceeds Expectations This rating requires a support services partner to fulfill all identified deliverables for the district in the focused area(s) of improvement related to the scope of work.
- 3 Meets Expectations This rating requires a support services partner who has met 90% of identified deliverables for the district in the focused area(s) of improvement related to the scope of work.
- 2 Needs Improvement This rating requires a support services partner who has met less than 90% and more than 70% of the identified deliverables for the district in the focused area(s) of improvement related to the scope of work.
- 1 Unsuccessful This rating requires a support services partner who has met less than 70% of the identified deliverables for the district in the focused area(s) of improvement related to the scope of work.